The Legitimacy of President Obama's Reelection

Grinols v. Electoral College (Morrison C. England, Jr., E.D. Cal. 2:12-cv-2997)

Four days before the Electoral College's 2012 vote, a federal complaint challenged the President's reelection on the grounds that the President allegedly was a citizen of Indonesia. The district court ultimately dismissed the action as a political question. The court of appeals affirmed the dismissal on the ground that the case was moot.

Subject: Voting irregularities. *Topics:* Enjoining certification; case assignment; Electoral College.

On December 13, 2012, four days before the Electoral College's official voting, two would-be electors and three unsuccessful candidates for President filed a federal class-action complaint in the Eastern District of California's Sacramento courthouse alleging that President Obama was ineligible for reelection because, among other things, he allegedly was a citizen of Indonesia. The complaint prayed for various stays of the President's reelection.

The case was assigned to Judge Morrison C. England, Jr., who was out of the state at the time.³ As duty judge, Judge Kimberly J. Mueller denied the plaintiffs immediate relief, on December 14, for various procedural defects, and she gave the plaintiffs a deadline of December 21 to properly seek a temporary restraining order.⁴ The plaintiffs filed such a motion on December 20.⁵ Judge England heard the motion on January 3, 2013.⁶

Judge England denied the plaintiffs immediate relief from the bench and supported his decision with an opinion issued thirteen days later.⁷ On May 23, he dismissed the federal claim in the plaintiffs' amended complaint as a non-justiciable political question, and he declined jurisdiction over state-law claims.⁸

1

^{1.} Complaint, Grinols v. Electoral Coll., No. 2:12-cv-2997 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2012), D.E. 2.

^{2.} Id. at 29-30.

^{3.} Transcript at 2–3, *id.* (Jan. 3, 2013, filed Jan. 17, 2013), D.E. 54 [hereinafter Jan. 3, 2013, Transcript].

^{4.} Order, id. (Dec. 14, 2012), D.E. 8; Jan. 3, 2013, Transcript, supra note 3, at 3.

^{5.} Temporary-Restraining-Order Motion, *Grinols*, No. 2:12-cv-2997 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2012), D.E. 12; Jan. 3, 2013, Transcript, *supra* note 3, at 2–3.

^{6.} Jan. 3, 2013, Transcript, *supra* note 3; Docket Sheet, *Grinols*, No. 2:12-cv-2997 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2012) [hereinafter E.D. Cal. Docket Sheet] (D.E. 13, 48).

^{7.} Jan. 3, 2013, Transcript, *supra* note 3, at 39–40; Opinion, *Grinols*, No. 2:12-cv-2997 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 16, 2013), D.E. 52, *available at* 2013 WL 211135; E.D. Cal. Docket Sheet, *supra* note 6.

^{8.} Opinion, *Grinols*, No. 2:12-cv-2997 (E.D. Cal. May 23, 2013), D.E. 127; see Transcript, id. (Apr. 22, 2013, filed May 21, 2013), D.E. 126; see also Amended Complaint, id. (Feb. 11, 2013), D.E. 69.

November 2, 2015, the court of appeals affirmed the dismissal on the ground that the case was moot. 9

^{9.} Grinols v. Electoral College, 622 F. App'x 624 (9th Cir. 2015).

On two occasions, the court of appeals denied the plaintiffs interlocutory relief. Order, Grinols v. Electoral Coll., No. 13-15627 (9th Cir. June 20, 2013); Order, *In re* Grinols, No. 13-70744 (9th Cir. Apr. 1, 2013).