alel

OFFICE OF THE CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD W. WIEKING

450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 (415) 556-3031 FAX (415) 556-6147

February 22, 1994

MEMORANDUM

TO: All General Order Book Holders

FROM: Rich Wieking

SUBJECT: General Orders

Please find attached copies of amended General Order Nos. 34 and 36. The amendments to these General Orders were basically two:

1. General Order No. 34 was amended to include Judge Wilken in the Case Management Pilot Program.

2. Pursuant to a vote of the judges at their last meeting, General Order Nos. 34 and 36 were amended to exclude from coverage all government debt collection cases.

Attachments

ten i d'arras

GENERAL ORDER NO. 34 CASE MANAGEMENT PILOT PROGRAM

I. PURPOSE

The Northern District of California is one of three federal courts specifically mandated by Congress, under the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990, to "experiment with various methods of reducing cost and delay in civil litigation, including alternative dispute resolution." 28 U.S.C. §471 Note. The Case Management Pilot Program (the "Pilot") is designed to enable parties to civil litigation who are proceeding in good faith to resolve their disputes sooner and less expensively.

The Pilot rules address three major causes of expense and delay: (1) excessive reliance on motion work and formal discovery to determine the essence of claims and defenses and to identify supporting evidence, (2) inattention to civil cases in their early stages, and (3) insufficient involvement of clients in decision-making about the handling of their cases.

Accordingly, the Pilot strives to replace some formal motion and discovery proceedings with early exchange of core information and meaningful dialogue about the merits and posture of the cases, including the Case Management Statement and Proposed Order which reflects the clients' cost-benefit analyses and which suggests specific limits on formal discovery.

II. SCOPE

All civil actions filed on or after December 1, 1993 that are assigned to the judges listed in Appendix A, except those types of cases listed in Appendix B, shall be included in the Pilot and governed by this Amended General Order. Pilot cases also shall remain subject to this court's Local Rules, but the provisions of this General Order shall supersede any conflicting provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the court's Local Rules (including Local Rule 220-10). The major deadlines are set forth in Appendix C.

III. SERVICE

A. <u>Timing</u>

As soon as practicable, but no later than 40 days after filing the complaint, plaintiff shall serve, on each defendant, the summons, complaint, a copy of this General Order, the Order Re Court Procedures, and the booklet entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California."

B. Filing Proof(s) of Service

Proof(s) of service of process or waiver(s) of

service by the defendant(s) shall be filed with the court no later than 45 days after the complaint is filed.

C. Order to Show Cause

If by the 46th day after the complaint was filed, plaintiff has not filed proof of service showing that at least one defendant has been served, the court automatically will issue an Order To Show Cause why the complaint should not be dismissed or other sanctions imposed.

D. Additional Parties

Any party who, after the filing of the original complaint, causes a new party to be joined in the action shall promptly serve on that new party a copy of all items described in paragraph A of this section. Such additional parties must make the disclosures set forth in paragraph B of Section VII no later than 90 days after the filing of the complaint, or no later than 50 days after they were served with the complaint, whichever occurs later.

IV. REMOVED CASES

In cases removed to this court from a state court, the removing defendant(s) shall serve on the plaintiff(s) and all other parties, at the time of service of the notice of removal, a copy of this General Order, the Order re Court Procedures, and the booklet entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California." The deadlines set forth in this General Order for disclosure and the meet and confer shall run from the date of the filing of the Notice of Removal.

The filing of a motion for remand does not relieve the moving party of any obligations under this General Order unless the assigned judge specifically grants such relief.

V. TRANSFERRED CASES

Within 30 days after the filing of a case transferred from another court, the assigned judge's courtroom deputy will notify counsel of the scheduling of a status conference at which the judge will decide whether the parties must comply with the obligations of this General Order. No obligations of this General Order shall apply unless the judge so orders.

VI. TEMPORARY SUSPENSION OF ALL FORMAL DISCOVERY ACTIVITY

Except by stipulation of all parties, or on written order of the court, no formal

discovery, including discovery from third parties, shall be initiated until after the parties have completed the disclosures required by section VII and the meet and confer required by section VIII.

VII. DUTIES OF DISCLOSURE AND SUPPLEMENTATION

A. <u>Timing of Initial Disclosures</u>

No later than 90 days after the complaint was filed, each party, regardless of whether defendant(s) has filed an answer, shall serve on every other party who has been served in the action, the disclosures set forth in paragraph B of this section.

B. Content of Initial Disclosures

After making in good faith such inquiry and investigation as is reasonable under the circumstances, each party shall disclose:

1. The full name, title, work or home address and telephone number of each person known to have discoverable information about <u>factual</u> matters relevant to the case.

2. All unprivileged documents in the party's custody or control that are then reasonably available that tend to support the positions that the disclosing party has taken or is reasonably likely to take in the case.

3. Copies of any apparently pertinent insurance agreements.

4. A computation by claimant(s) of any category of damages sought or likely to be sought, e.g., in a counterclaim.

5. All unprivileged documents and other evidentiary material in the party's custody or control that are then reasonably available that relate to damages, except punitive damages.

C. <u>Procedures and Expenses re Inspecting</u> and <u>Copying Documents Subject to</u> Disclosure

1. A party disclosing 100 or fewer pages of documents pursuant to this section may make copies, forward them to counsel for the other parties, and bill them at a reasonable rate.

2. A party whose disclosure would include more than 100 pages of documents shall telephone counsel for the other parties no fewer than five court days before the date the disclosure must be made under this General Order. The disclosing party shall describe to other counsel the volume and nature of its documents subject to disclosure. Each party to whom the disclosure would be made may elect to (a) inspect the documents to identify those it will arrange to have copied, (b) ask that the disclosing party copy and forward only specified categories of the documents subject to disclosure, or (c) ask that the disclosing party copy all the documents subject to disclosure. A party copying documents at another party's request under this section may bill the receiving party for the copying at a reasonable rate. A party who requests copies of fewer than all of the documents subject to disclosure by another party does not thereby waive a right subsequently to inspect and/or obtain copies of the remaining documents.

D. Protective Order

If one or more parties desires protection of documents or other information disclosed under paragraph B of this section, the parties shall enter a reasonable protective order to govern the disclosed documents or information until further order of court.

E. Duty to Supplement

Each party shall have a continuing duty to supplement its disclosures (to the extent that the information has not already been revealed in discovery) on a timely basis. In a Case Management Order, the court may, on its own initiative or upon request, set time intervals for supplementation.

F. Format and Certification of Disclosures

Every disclosure and supplementation shall be:

1. Served with a document entitled "Initial Disclosure of *Iname of party*! or "*Inumber of*! Supplemental Disclosure of *Iname of party*!," and

2. Signed by at least one attorney of record whose signature constitutes a certification that, to the best of his or her knowledge, information, and belief, formed after an inquiry that is reasonable under the circumstances, the disclosure or supplementation is complete and correct as of the time it is made.

C. <u>Disclosure of Expert Testimony</u> Except as otherwise ordered by the assigned judge, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) shall apply to all cases subject to this General Order.

VIII. MEET AND CONFER RE CASE MANAGEMENT

No later than 100 days after the complaint was filed, lead counsel for each party shall meet and confer regarding the following matters. The meet and confer session shall be conducted in a face-to-face meeting unless the offices of the parties' lead trial counsel are separated by more than 100 miles, in which case counsel may conduct the conference by telephone.

A. Principal Issues and Evidence

1. Identify the principal factual and legal

issues that the parties dispute.

2. Discuss the <u>principal</u> evidentiary bases for claims and defenses.

B. <u>Alternative Dispute Resolution and</u> <u>Settlement</u>

Discuss utilization of alternative dispute resolution procedures. Options are discussed in the booklet entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" available in the clerk's office.

Discuss whether the case can be settled promptly and, if not, try to identify obstacles to settlement and to devise means to overcome them (e.g., by sharing specified information, by conducting key discovery, or by expeditiously filing a motion).

C. Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge

Discuss whether all parties will consent to jurisdiction by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

D. Additional Disclosure

1. Discuss whether additional disclosure of documents or other information should be made and, if so, when.

2. Recommend the dates or intervals for supplementation of disclosures.

E. Motions

Identify any motions whose early resolution would likely have a significant effect on the scope of discovery or other aspects of the litigation.

F. Discovery

1. Negotiate a plan for at least the first phase of discovery, specifically identifying the discovery tools the parties plan to use, the names of persons who will be deposed or who will receive discovery requests, the dates on which any depositions will occur or any requests will be served, and the purpose for each deposition or discovery request.

2. Discuss limitations on each discovery tool and, if appropriate, on subject areas, types of witnesses, and/or time periods to which discovery should be confined.

3. Recommend protective orders, if appropriate.

G. Scheduling

1. Discuss dates by which discovery should be completed, expert witnesses disclosed, motions directed to the merits of all or part of the case heard, the papers required for the final pretrial conference filed, the final pretrial conference held, and the trial commenced.

These items also are set forth on the Form for Case Management Statement and Proposed Order attached as Appendix D.

IX. THE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

No later than 10 days before the initial Case Management Conference, counsel shall file a concise, joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order, in the Form attached as Appendix D, which shall:

A. Principal Issues

Include a brief statement of the principal facts and events underlying the action.

Identify the <u>principal</u> factual and legal issues that the parties dispute.

B. <u>Alternative Dispute Resolution and</u> <u>Settlement</u>

Identify the alternative dispute resolution procedure which counsel intend to use, or report specifically why no such procedure would assist in the resolution of the case.

Make suggestions about ways the court might help the parties position the case efficiently for settlement negotiations or otherwise help with the settlement process.

C. Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge

Indicate whether all parties consent pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to have a magistrate judge preside over a jury or court trial, with appeal lying to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

D. Disclosure

1. List by name and title the persons whose identities have been disclosed.

2. Describe by category the documents that have been disclosed under section VII.B. of this Order or produced through formal discovery.

3. Set forth the computations of damages. 4. Describe each additional category of documents that will be disclosed without imposing on other counsel the burden of serving a formal request for production of documents.

5. Recommend the dates or intervals for supplementation of disclosures.

E. Motions

Identify any motions whose early resolution would likely have a significant effect on the scope of discovery or other aspects of the litigation.

F. Discovery

1. Describe all discovery completed or in progress.

2. With respect to at least the first phase of discovery, the parties shall stipulate to a discovery plan. The plan shall detail the discovery tools the parties plan to use (e.g., depositions, interrogatories, document production requests). In addition, the discovery plan shall include the names of persons who will be deposed or who will receive discovery requests, the dates on

which any depositions will occur or any requests will be served, and the purpose for each deposition or discovery request.

3. Recommend limitations on each discovery tool and, if appropriate, on subject areas, types of witnesses, and/or time periods to which discovery should be confined.

C. Trial

State the month and year in which the parties recommend the trial should commence, the anticipated length of trial and whether the trial will be before the court or a jury.

H. Additional Scheduling

1. Recommend time limits to conclude discovery and to hear motions.

2. Recommend the date for the pretrial conference and for filing the papers required for the pretrial conference.

X. THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Within 120 days of the filing of the complaint, or on the first date thereafter available on the judge's calendar, the judge will conduct the initial Case Management Conference, which shall be attended by lead trial counsel for each party. The judge may enter an order requiring the <u>parties</u> to participate, in person or by telephone, in the conference.

At the conference the court will:

A. Principal Issues

1. Identify, at least tentatively, the principal factual and legal issues in dispute.

2. Fix time limits to join other parties and to amend the pleadings.

B. Alternative Dispute Resolution

Consider referring the case to an alternative dispute resolution procedure.

C. Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge

Determine whether all parties consent to a jury or court trial presided over by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

D. Disclosure

1. Review the parties' compliance with their disclosure obligations.

2. Consider whether to order additional disclosures and fix the dates or intervals for supplementation of disclosures.

E. Motions

Determine whether to order early filing of any motions that might significantly affect the scope of discovery or other aspects of the litigation.

F. Discovery

1. Determine the plan for at least the first stage of discovery.

2. Impose limitations on each discovery tool and, if appropriate, on subject areas, types of witnesses, and/or time periods to

which discovery should be confined.

Fix the date or the time period (by month and year) for commencement of the trial.

H. Additional Scheduling

1. Fix time limits to conclude discovery and to hear motions.

2. Fix the date for the pretrial conference and for filing the papers required for the pretrial conference.

I. Fix the date for the next case management/status conference.

XI. THE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

No more than ten calendar days after the initial Case Management Conference, the judge will enter the initial Case Management Order which will address all of the matters covered in the initial Case Management Conference.

XII. SANCTIONS

The court-has authority to impose sanctions for violation of any provisions of this General Order, including violations of the duties to disclose and/or supplement.

XIII. RECONSIDERATION BY DISTRICT JUDGES OF MAGISTRATE JUDGES' RULINGS ON DISCOVERY MATTERS

A party who seeks reconsideration by the assigned district judge of a magistrate judge's ruling on a discovery matter shall do so by filing a motion in conformity with Local Rule 410-2. Unless otherwise ordered by the assigned judge, no response need be filed and no hearing shall be held. The judge may deny the motion by written order at any time, but shall not grant the motion without giving the opposition an opportunity to brief the matter. If no order denying the motion or setting a briefing schedule is made within <u>15 calendar</u> days of the filing of the motion, the motion shall be deemed denied.

XIV. TENTATIVE RULINCS; NOTICE RE ISSUES ON WHICH JUDGE WANTS ORAL ARGUMENT TO FOCUS

A. Any judge may elect to issue a tentative ruling with respect to any motion scheduled for hearing. Counsel shall ask at the initial Case Management Conference whether the judge will be issuing tentative rulings and, if so, how they will be communicated.

B. When a judge identifies, before a hearing on a motion, issues or other matters on which he or she wants oral argument to focus, or

about which he or she wants additional information or authority, the judge will endeavor to provide advance notice to counsel in writing, by telephone, or by such other means as the judge deems appropriate.

XV. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE CASE MANAGEMENT PILOT RULES AND THE COURT'S ADR MULTI-OPTION PILOT, ARBITRATION AND EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION PROGRAMS

A. <u>Cases Assigned to the ADR Multi-Option</u> Pilot

Except as may be otherwise ordered in individual matters, counsel in cases that are subject to this General Order and that are assigned to the ADR Multi-Option Pilot under General Order No. 36 shall comply with the provisions of both General Order No. 36 and this General Order.

B. Cases Assigned to Arbitration

Except as may be otherwise ordered in individual matters, counsel in cases that are subject to this General Order and that are assigned to arbitration under Local Rule 500 shall comply with the provisions of both that Local Rule and this General Order. In such cases, the clerk shall set a date for the arbitration hearing not more than 135 days after the Case Management Conference, in order to allow parties to conduct discovery after the Case Management Conference. In addition, the assigned judge will hold a status and trial setting conference within 30 days of a timely filed demand for trial <u>de novo</u> after an arbitration hearing.

C. <u>Cases Assigned to Early Neutral</u> Evaluation (ENE)

Except as may be otherwise ordered in individual matters, counsel in cases that are subject to this General Order and that are assigned to the ENE program shall proceed simultaneously in compliance with both this General Order and General Order No. 26 (governing ENE).

XVI. PROHIBITION AGAINST MODIFYING PILOT REQUIREMENTS SIMPLY BY STIPULATION; REQUIREMENT OF COURT ORDER

Except as expressly provided in Section VI, provisions of this General Order may be modified or vacated <u>only</u> by written order of a judge of this court following a timely showing that the interests of justice clearly would be harmed if the provisions in question were not modified or vacated. Counsel may contact the chambers of the assigned judge to determine whether he or she will hear requests to modify provisions of this General Order by telephone conference.

XVII. REQUIREMENT OF CLIENT APPROVAL FOR CERTAIN CONTINUANCES

Any request to continue the trial shall be signed by both lead trial counsel and the client.

XVII. FILING MOTIONS DOES NOT RELIEVE PARTIES OF PILOT PROGRAM OBLICATIONS

The filing of a motion of any kind does not relieve any party of the obligations imposed by this General Order.

XIX. QUESTIONS ABOUT CASE MANAGEMENT PILOT

Parties may direct general questions about the operation of the Case Management Pilot to the court's Case Management Coordinator, telephone number: (415) 556-2972.

ADOPTED: July 1, 1992 AMENDED: July 1, 1993 AMENDED: December 1, 1993 AMENDED: January 18, 1994

APPENDIX A PARTICIPATING JUDGES

The following judges are participating in the Case Management Pilot:

Chief Judge Thelton E. Henderson Judge William H. Orrick, Jr. Judge Marilyn Hall Patel Judge Eugene F. Lynch Judge Charles A. Legge Judge D. Lowell Jensen Judge Fern M. Smith Judge Vaughn R. Walker Judge James Ware Judge Saundra Brown Armstrong Judge Barbara A. Caulfield Judge Ronald M. Whyte Judge Claudia Wilken

APPENDIX B CATEGORIES OF CASES EXCLUDED FROM THE PILOT

The following types of cases, based on information set forth on the Civil Cover Sheet, will be excluded from the Pilot: class actions, multidistrict litigation, transferred cases, cases filed by pro se plaintiffs, cases remanded from appellate court, reinstated and reopened cases, cases in which the plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis, government debt collection cases, and cases in the following nature of suit categories indicated on the Civil Cover Sheet: Prisoner Petitions (510 - 550), Forfeiture/ Penalty (610 - 690), Bankruptcy (422 - 423), Social Security (861 - 865), Contracts (only nos. 150 (Recovery of Overpayment and Enforcement of Judgment), 151 (Medicare Act), Civil Rights Ionly no. 441 (Voting)I, and other Statutes (only nos. 400 (State Reapportionment), 460 (Deportation), 810 (Selective Service), 875 (Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410), 892 (Economic Stabilization Act), 894 (Energy Allocation Act), 895 (Freedom of Information Act) and 900 (Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice)).

APPENDIX C CASE MANAGEMENT PILOT TIMELINE

DAY¹ ACTIVITY

o complaint filed, case assigned to pilot judge

- 40 last day to serve all defendants
- 45 last day to file proof(s) of service
- **46** court issues Order to Show Cause why the complaint should not be dismissed if plaintiff has not filed proof that at least one defendant has been served
- 90 last day to complete required disclosures
- **100** last day to complete meet and confer re case management
- 110² last day to file and serve Case Management Statement and Proposed Order
- **120**³ judge conducts initial Case Management Conference
- 130 judge issues initial Case Management Order

¹ These deadlines represent the number of days after the filing of the complaint. All activities must occur no later than the listed date, unless the court orders otherwise.

² The last day to file and serve the Case Management Statement and Proposed Order will be 10 days before the scheduled initial Case Management Conference. ³ The date for the initial Case Management Conference will be set as close as feasible to the 120th day after the filing of the complaint.

APPENDIX D

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10)) CASE NO.
11	
12) JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT) AND PROPOSED ORDER
13)) CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
14) DATE:
15))) TIME:
16)
17)
18	
19	Pursuant to this Court's General Order No. 34, the court conducted a Case
20	Management Conference on Each party was represented by
21	lead counsel responsible for trial of this matter and was given an opportunity to
22	be heard as to all matters encompassed by this Case Management Statement and
23	Proposed Order filed prior to the conference.
24	According to their written and oral submissions, the parties contend that the
25	principal facts and events underlying the action are:
26	*
27	
28	

1			FACTS AND EVENTS UNDERLYING THE ACTION
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	А.	PRIN	CIPAL ISSUES
15		1.	The principal factual issues that the parties dispute are:
16			a.
17			
18			b.
19			
20			C.
21			
22		2.	The principal legal issues that the parties dispute are:
23			a.
24			
25			b.
26			
27			с.
28			
			CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

۰.

1		3.	The following issues as to service of	process, personal jurisdiction,
2			subject matter jurisdiction, or venue r	remain unresolved:
3				
4				
5		4.	The following parties have not yet bee	en served:
6			•	
7				
8		5.	Any additional parties that a party into	ends to join are listed below:
9	<u>Party</u>		Additional Parties	Deadline
10				
11				
12				
13		6.	Any additional claims that a party inte	ends to add are listed below:
14	<u>Party</u>		Additional Claims	Deadline
15				
16				
17				
18	В.	ALTE	RNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (Choose ons.)	e one of the following three
19		- This d	case already has been assigned or the p	parties have agreed to use the
20		follov	wing court sponsored or other ADR prod	cedure (please list the provider
21		if oth	ner than the court):	
22				
23				
24		Date	by which ADR session to be held:	
25		The p	parties have been unable to agree on an	ADR procedure. The partylies
26			below believes that the case is appro-	•
27		indica		
28				

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

1		
2		
3		All parties share the view that no ADR procedure should be used in this case.
4		The specific basis for that view is set forth below:
5		
6		The parties make the following additional suggestions concerning
7		settlement:
8		
9		
10		The Court hereby orders:
11		
12		
13	C.	CONSENT TO JURISDICTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14		Parties consent to a jury or court trial presided over by a magistrate judge
15		🗆 yes 🛛 no
16		The Court hereby refers this case for the following purposes to a magistrate
17		judge:
18		
19		
20		
21	D.	DISCLOSURES
22		The parties certify that they have made the following disclosures:
23		1. Persons disclosed pursuant to section VII.B.1. of General Order No. 34:
24		a. Disclosed by
25		(1)
26		(2)
27		(3)
28		(4)
		CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

1	1	b.	Disclosed by:
2			(1)
3			(2)
4			(3)
5			(4)
6		с.	Disclosed by:
7			(1)
8			(2)
9			(3)
10			(4)
11	2.	Categ	ories of documents disclosed under section VILB. of General
12		Orde	r No. 34 or produced through formal discovery:
13		а.	Categories of documents disclosed by:
14			(1)
15			(2)
16			(3)
17			(4)
18		b.	Categories of documents disclosed by:
19			(1)
20			(2)
21			(3)
22			(4)
23		с.	Categories of documents disclosed by:
24			(1)
25			(2)
26			(3)
:7			(4)
28			

1	3.	Each party who claims an entitlement to damages or an offset sets
2		forth the following preliminary computation of the damages or of the
3		offset:
4		
5		
6		
7	4.	The parties will disclose the following additional information by the
8		date listed:
9	<u>Party</u>	<u>Disclosure</u> <u>Deadline</u>
10		
11		
12		
13	5.	Disclosures will be supplemented at the following intervals:
14		
15		
16	E. EA	RLY FILING OF MOTIONS
17	Th	e following motions expected to have a significant effect either on the
18	scope of	discovery or other aspects of the litigation shall be heard by the date
19	specified	below:
20	Mc	oving Party Nature of Motion Hearing Date
21		
22		
23		
24	F. DIS	SCOVERY
25	1.	The parties have conducted or have underway the following
26		discovery:
27		
28		
		CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

1	2.	The parties have negotiated the following discovery plan:
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		•
7		
8		
9		
10	3.	Limitations on discovery tools (specify number):
11		a. depositions (excluding experts) by:
12		plaintiff(s): defendant(s):
13		b. interrogatories served by:
14		plaintiff(s): defendant(s):
15		c. document production requests served by:
16		plaintiff(s): defendant(s):
17		d. requests for admission served by:
18		plaintiff(s): defendant(s):
19	4.	The parties agree to the following limitations on the subject matter
20		of discovery:
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	5.	Discovery from experts. The parties plan to offer expert testimony as
26		to the following subject matter(s):
27		
28		
		CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

1		6.	The Court orders the following additional limitations on the subject
2			matter of discovery:
з			
4			
5			
6	G.	TRIAL	•
7		1.	Trial date:
8		2.	Anticipated length of trial (number of days):
9		3.	Type of trial: • jury • court
10	Н.	ADDI	TIONAL SCHEDULING
11		1.	Final pretrial conference date:
12		2.	Date for filing papers required for the final pretrial conference:
13		3.	Deadline to hear motions directed to the merits of all or part of the
14			case:
15			
16		4.	Deadlines for completion of discovery:
17			a. all discovery except from experts:
18			b. disclosure of identities and resumes of expert witnesses:
19			plaintiff(s):
20			defendant(s):
21			c. discovery from experts:
22	I.	Date	of next case management/status conference:
23	J.	OTHE	R MATTERS
24			
25	К.		FIFICATION OF PARTIES
26		title,	cilitate survey research of the pilot program, please identify by name, work or home address and phone number of a client representative of
27		each	party:
28			
			CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

1	L. IDENTIFICATION AND <u>SIGNATURE</u> OF LEAD COUNSEL Identify by name, address, and phone number lead counsel for each party.
2	rachtery by hame, address, and phone hamber lead counser for each party.
3	
4	
5	
6	*
7	
8	
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
10	Dated: U.S. District Judge
11	U.S. District Judge
12	
13	
_ 4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	8
27	
28	
	CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER

GENERAL ORDER NO. 36

ADR MULTI-OPTION PILOT PROGRAM

I. PURPOSE

The court adopts an ADR Multi-Option Pilot program (the "ADR Pilot") to offer litigants in certain civil cases a range of court-connected alternative dispute resolution (ADR) processes. These processes are designed to provide quicker, less expensive and potentially more satisfying alternatives to continuing litigation without impairing the quality of justice or the right to trial.

The Northern District of California is one of three federal courts specifically mandated by Congress, under the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990, to "experiment with various methods of reducing cost and delay in civil litigation, including alternative dispute resolution." 28 U.S.C. §471 Note. The ADR Pilot represents one such experiment through which the court hopes to assess the potential of various ADR processes in different kinds of cases.

II. SUMMARY

In this pilot, litigants in certain cases designated upon the filing of the complaint or notice of removal are presumptively required to participate in one non-binding ADR process offered by the court (Arbitration, Early Neutral Evaluation, Mediation, or Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge) or may substitute a similar process offered by a private provider. Unless they have stipulated to an ADR process, counsel shall participate in a joint telephone conference with the Director or Deputy Director of the court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program to consider the suitability of the ADR options to their case. When litigants have not stipulated to an ADR process before the Case Management Conference (see General Order No. 34), the judge will discuss the ADR options with counsel at that conference. If the parties cannot agree on a process before the end of the Case Management Conference, the judge will select one of the ADR processes offered by the court unless persuaded that no ADR process is likely to deliver benefits to the case sufficient to justify the resources consumed by its use.

III. SCOPE

All civil actions filed in this court on or after July 1, 1993 that are assigned to the following judges and subject to the Case Management Pilot

(General Order No. 34), shall be automatically assigned to the ADR Pilot and governed by this General Order (excluded cases are listed in Appendix A):

Chief Judge Thelton E. Henderson Judge Marilyn Hall Patel Judge Fern M. Smith Judge Vaughn R. Walker Judge Barbara A. Caulfield

IV. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER COURT RULES

ADR Pilot cases shall remain subject to this court's Local Rules and General Orders (including General Order No. 34 governing the Case Management Pilot), as well as to individual judges' Standing Orders, but the provisions of this General Order shall supersede any conflicting provisions of any such Rules or Orders.

V. SERVICE OF PERTINENT DOCUMENTS

Upon the filing of a complaint or notice of removal, the Clerk shall give the filing party an Order re Court Procedures indicating whether the case has been assigned to the ADR Pilot and specifying, among other dates, a date and time for an ADR Telephone Conference; a copy of this General Order; a Notice re ADR Multi-Option Pilot; the brochure entitled *Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*; and such other materials as required by the Court. The filing party shall serve these documents on all other parties with the complaint or notice of removal and other papers required to be served.

Any party who, after the filing of the original complaint and before the initial Case Management Conference, causes a new party to be joined in the action, shall promptly serve on that new party a copy of the items described in the preceding paragraph.

A party required under this Order to serve documents on another party shall file proof of service promptly after effecting service.

VI. ADR OPTIONS

All litigants whose cases are assigned to the ADR Pilot are presumptively required to select one of the following court ADR programs in which to participate:

- Arbitration (non-binding or binding)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge (subject to availability)

These programs are described in the brochure *Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*. Arbitration is governed by Local Rule 500, ENE by General Order No. 26 and Mediation by General Order No. 37. The brochure, Rule and General Orders are available in the court clerk's office.

A private ADR procedure (such as arbitration, ENE or mediation) conducted within the time frames set forth in Section VII.D. may be substituted for a court program if the parties so stipulate and the assigned judge approves.

VII. PROCEDURE FOR SELECTING AND PARTICIPATING IN ADR PROCESS

A. <u>Stipulation</u>

As soon as feasible after filing or removal, counsel shall confer to attempt to agree on an ADR process. If counsel agree on an ADR process, they shall file, as soon as feasible, a Stipulation and Order Selecting ADR Process in the form attached as Appendix B to this General Order. The parties also shall specify which information, if any, will be disclosed or discovered before the ADR process begins.

If the parties file a stipulation selecting an ADR procedure before the date set for the ADR Telephone Conference (see ¶ B, below), the Telephone Conference shall not take place. Parties who stipulate to a procedure after the Telephone Conference may do so in their Case Management Statement.

B. <u>ADR Telephone Conference</u>

On the Order re Court Procedures distributed by the clerk upon the filing of the complaint or notice of removal, the clerk shall specify a time and date, 95 to 105 days after filing, for a joint ADR Telephone Conference. During the phone conference, the ADR Director or Deputy Director will discuss with counsel the suitability of the ADR options for their particular case.

The court will notify the parties of the procedures for the ADR Telephone Conference. The attorney expected to be primarily responsible for handling the trial of the matter shall participate in the ADR conference. Clients and their insurance carriers are strongly encouraged to participate as well. Counsel may request an in-person ADR conference at the court in lieu of the telephone conference by calling the ADR Unit at 415/556-3167.

C. <u>Case Management Conference</u>

If the parties do not stipulate to an ADR option before the Case Management Conference, the judge shall discuss with the parties the selection of an option at that Conference. The ADR Director or Deputy Director may consult with the judge before the Case Management Conference and may recommend a specific ADR option for that case.

If the parties agree to a particular ADR option at the Case Management Conference and the judge approves, the judge will issue an order referring the case to that program. If the parties do not agree on an ADR program, and the judge deems it appropriate, he or she will select one of the court ADR programs (either Non-binding Arbitration, ENE, Mediation or an Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge) and issue an order referring the case to that program.

If the parties persuade the judge that no ADR process is likely to deliver benefits to the case sufficient to justify the resources consumed by its use, the judge will exempt their case from the Pilot.

D. <u>Timing of ADR Process</u>

Unless otherwise ordered, Arbitrations shall be conducted within 135 days after the Case Management Conference and Early Neutral Evaluation or mediation sessions shall be conducted within 90 days after the date for which the first Case Management Conference was initially set.

E. <u>Certification of Completion</u>

The arbitrator(s) shall file an award upon completion of a courtconnected arbitration. Upon completion of a court-connected ENE session, mediation session, or a Magistrate Judge Settlement Conference, the neutral or Magistrate Judge shall file a Certification in the form provided by the court certifying that the process was completed. When a private ADR program is substituted for a court process, the parties shall file the Certification.

4

F. <u>Selection of Neutrals in Court ADR Programs</u>

Parties shall select arbitrators in accordance with LR 500. The ADR Directors will assign ENE evaluators and mediators to cases from the court's rosters of trained neutrals for each of these two programs. While parties may indicate a preference for a particular Magistrate Judge for an Early Settlement Conference, the court will select the Magistrate Judge based on availability.

G. <u>Payment of Neutrals</u>

1. Arbitrators

Arbitrators are paid by the court through funds authorized pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §657. Arbitrators who serve alone are paid \$250 per day of hearing or portion thereof and members of three-arbitrator panels are paid \$150 per day or portion thereof.

2. ENE Evaluators and Mediators

ENE evaluators and mediators shall volunteer their preparation time and the first four hours of their time in ADR sessions. After four hours, the neutral may either (1) continue to volunteer his or her time or (2) give the parties the option of concluding the procedure or paying the neutral for additional time at an hourly rate of \$150. The procedure will continue only if all parties and the neutral agree.

All terms and conditions of payment must be clearly communicated to the parties. The parties may agree to pay the fee in other than equal portions. The parties shall pay the neutral directly. At the conclusion of the ADR process, the neutral shall promptly report to the court the amount of any payment received.

VIII. QUESTIONS ABOUT PILOT

Parties may direct questions about ADR options, the ADR Telephone Conference or the operation of the ADR Pilot to the court's ADR Unit at 415/ 556-3167. Any request for continuance of the ADR Telephone Conference must be made in the first instance to the ADR Director and not to the assigned judge.

IX. REQUESTS FOR RELIEF

To seek relief from any obligation imposed by this Order or an ADR order, including an extension of the deadline for conducting the ADR session, a party shall submit a letter to the ADR Director, for transmittal to the assigned judge, detailing the considerations that support the request and indicating whether the other party or parties join or object to it. Such letter requests shall be accompanied by a proposed order setting forth the date by which the party shall meet the obligation in question. Such requests will be granted only after a showing of extraordinary circumstances.

X. EVALUATION

Congress has mandated that the court's ADR programs be evaluated. Neutrals, attorneys and clients shall promptly respond to any inquiries or questionnaires from persons authorized by the court to evaluate the programs. Questionnaire responses will be used for research and monitoring purposes only and the sources of specific information will not be disclosed to the assigned judge or in any report.

ADOPTED: July 1, 1993 AMENDED: January 18, 1994

FOR THE COURT

CHIEF JUDGE

APPENDIX A

CATEGORIES OF CASES EXCLUDED FROM PILOT

The following types of cases, based on information set forth on the Civil Cover Sheet, are excluded from this General Order: class actions, multidistrict litigation, transferred cases, cases filed by pro se plaintiffs, cases remanded from appellate court, reinstated and reopened cases, government debt collection cases, and cases in the following nature of suit categories: Prisoner Petitions (510 - 550) Forfeiture/Penalty (610 - 690), Bankruptcy (422 - 423), Social Security (861 - 865), Contracts (only nos. 150 (Recovery of Overpayment and Enforcement of Judgment), 151 (Medicare Act), Civil Rights Ionly no. 441 (Voting)], and other Statutes (only nos. 400 (State Reapportionment), 460 (Deportation), 810 (Selective Service), 875 (Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410), 892 (Economic Stabilization Act), 894 (Energy Allocation Act), 895 (Freedom of Information Act) and 900 (Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice)).

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6) CASE NO. CV
7	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING) ADR PROCESS
8	V.)
9	
10	Defendant.
11	; .
12	I. ADR PROCESS
13	The parties hereby stipulate to participate in the ADR process checked below (select one court-connected or private process).
14	Court-connected ADR processes:
15	□ Arbitration
16	□ Non-binding
17	
18	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
19	Early Settlement Conference with a Magistrate Judge
20	
21	
22	Private ADR process:
23	Type of Process:
24	Name, address and phone number of private provider:
25	
26	, *
?7	
28	

THIS FORM OF STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS IS APPENDIX B TO GENERAL ORDER 36

1	11.	TIMING FOR ADR PROCESS
2		Cases in ENE or Mediation (or similar private process):
3		The parties shall conduct the ADR session by (no
4		later than 90 days after the date set for the first Case Management Conference unless otherwise ordered under General Order 36, § VII.D.).
5		Cases in Arbitration (court-connected or private):
6		The parties shall conduct the Arbitration by (no later
7		than 135 days after the date set for the first Case Management Conference unless otherwise ordered the clerk will send the parties a list of arbitrators for ranking within 10 days after the Case
8 9		Management Conference).
10	111.	OTHER STIPULATIONS (e.g. regarding additional disclosures and/or
11		discovery before the ADR session, issues to be addressed in ADR session, etc.)
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Dated	d: Dated:
19		
20		
21	Plain	tiff Defendant
22	T IGHT	
23		
24	IT IS 9	SO ORDERED.
25	11 10 0	
26	Date	d.
27	Bato	United States District Judge
28		