UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CLERK OF COURT FEDERAL BUILDING - U.S. COURTHOUSE

222 W. 7th Avenue, #4 Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7564

gety Pube

106053

CLERK

12

May 1, 1991

L Ralph Mecham Administration Office Of U S Courts Washington, DC 20544

Dear Mr. Mecham:

Enclosed is a copy of the Miscellaneous General Order No. 698, Amendment No. 1, to the Civil Justice Reform Act Advisory Group report for the United States District Court for the District of Alaska.

Sincerely,

Caroll Knapp, Deputy Clerk U S District Court

FILED

APR 27 1992

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ALASKA By ______ Deputy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

ż

In the Matter of the

1

REPORT OF THE CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM ACT ADVISORY GROUP MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL ORDER NO. 698 <u>AMENDMENT NO. 1</u>

CIVIL JUSTICE EXPENSE & DELAY REDUCTION PLAN

By Miscellaneous General Order No. 698, this court adopted its Civil Justice and Expense Delay Reduction Plan based upon the report of the Civil Justice Reform Act Advisory Group. The court's plan has been subject to review by the chief judges of each district of the Ninth Circuit in accordance with Section 474 of the Act. The chief judges have noted two areas in which the court's plan is in need of clarification.

Firstly, the court's plan was unclear to reviewers on the subject of whether it prohibited discovery motions unless accompanied by a certification of the moving party that a good faith effort had

MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL ORDER NO. 698 AMENDMENT NO. 1 been made to reach agreement with opposing counsel. In fact the court's plan makes such provision; however, it was presented in a fashion which would not be recognized by those outside of the District of Alaska. At pages 14 and 17, in connection with the court's adoption of principles and guidelines of litigation management and cost and delay reduction, the court makes reference to its Local General Rule 5(E). That rule in substance provides that the court will not consider discovery motions in any civil case in the absence of a certificate that counsel have conferred with respect to the pending discovery matter and enumerating the matters remaining for determination by the court.

Secondly, the reviewers note that this district's plan does not contain a schedule for effectuating the various components of the plan. The following is a schedule which itemizes the major components of the court's plan, indicates those items which are either self-executing or done, a target date for certain other aspects of the plan and, finally, identification of those areas where additional work by the advisory group is called for by the plan.

			Requires No Action Self <u>Executin</u> q	Done	Target Date	Further Consideration by Advisory <u>Group</u>
I.	SYS	TEMIC CHANGES				
	Α.	Fill Vacant Judgeships	х			
	в.	Additional District				
		Judgeship	x			
	с.	Upgrade Anchorage				
		Part-Time U.S.M.J.		х		
	D.	Adopt Mandatory Disclosure Rule ¹	e		07/01/9	2

¹ Plan calls for working mandatory disclosure into differential case management pending adoption of a rule.

MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL ORDER NO. 698 AMENDMENT NO. 1

			Requires No Action Self Executing	Further Consideration Target by Advisory Date Group		
	Ι.	 E. Assignment of Certain Cas to "Fast-Track" Schedul F. Increased Discovery Maste Utilization G. Revised Case Weighting 	es r	X 07/01/92		
		Criteria H. Bifurcation of Issues & Staged Discovery	x	07/01/92		
		I. Alternative Dispute Resolution J. Assessment of Judicial		x		
		Impact of New Legislati	on X			
ľ,	II. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR JUDICIAL ACTION					
		A. Standardization of ProceduresB. More Aggressive Case	X (in part)	x		
		Management C. More Rapid Resolution of		07/01/92		
		Dispositive Motions D. Early Screening & Tentati Ruling Experiment	X ve	v		
	III.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION		X		
		BY LITIGANTS AND COUNSEL A. Representation by Counsel				
		with Power to Bind B. Case Management Plans C. Parties' Signature to Requests for Extension	x	07/01/92		
		of Discovery Deadline o Trial Date D. Continuing Legal Educatio		07/01/92		
	IV. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION					
		BY THE CLERK'S OFFICE A. Automated Docketing B. Speed Up Processing of		November, 1992		
		Orders C. Law Clerk Training D. File Clerk Position	х	September, 1992 F.Y. 1993		
	Dated at Anchorage, Alaska, this $\frac{27}{27}$ day of April, 1992.					
1 .U		assel Holland, Chief ed States District Judge	James K.	Singleton tates District Judge		
	MISCI	ELLANEOUS GENERAL ORDER N AMENDMENT NO. 1	0. 698	Page 3		

5. S.