
CJRA



Attorney Survey Results Since January 1, 1989, approximately what percentage of your civil litigation practice was in the Federal Court in Nebraska?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	24	3	3	6
21 - 40 Cases					1
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	48	10	10	6
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	4
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	5
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3		1	M	2
0 - 20 Cases	176	104	34	10	25
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	1	8
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3				3
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	40	11	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	20	4	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

How would you best describe your practice setting?

								Independent
	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate		Federal	Local	Non-profit
Years Left Blank	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Organization
0 - 40 Cases	2	1					1	
								Independent
	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate		Federal	Local	Non-profit
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Organization
0 - 20 Cases	37	35				1	1	
21 - 40 Cases						1		
								Independent
	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate		Federal	Local	Non-profit
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Organization
0 - 20 Cases	75	60	1	4	1	7	2	
21 - 40 Cases	6	5	1					
41 - 60 Cases	2	1				1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1			2	1	
							-	Independent
	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate		Federal	Local	Non-profit
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Organization
Cases Left Blank	3	2						
0 - 20 Cases	176	156	6	3	2	4	7	
21 - 40 Cases	11	8		1		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1		2		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1				2		
								Independent
	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate		Federal	Local	Non-profit
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Organization
Cases Left Blank	1	1						
0 - 20 Cases	63	58	2	2		1		1
21 - 40 Cases	11	1						
41 - 60 Cases	1	1						
More Than 60 Cases	1	1						
								Independent
More Than 30	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate		Federal	Local	Noл-profit
Years in Practice	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Organization
Cases Left Blank	1	_ 1						
0 - 20 Cases	27	26			1			
21 - 40 Cases	1	1						
41 - 60 Cases	1	1						
More Than 60 Cases	1	1						

Has your work in Federal Court been primarily on behalf of the plaintiff or defendant?

			Surveys	
Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Defendant	Received_	Years Left Blank
		2	2	0 - 40 Cases
Defendent /			Surveys	
Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Defendant	Received	0 - 5 Years in Practice
	16	21	37	0 - 20 Cases
	1		1	21 - 40 Cases
Defendent /			Surveys	
Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Defendant	Received	6 - 10 Years in Practice
	27	48	75	0 - 20 Cases
	2	4	6	21 - 40 Cases
	1	1	2	41 - 60 Cases
	4	2	6	More Than 60 Cases
Defendent /			Surveys	
Disimaliff	District Co.			dd OO Vaara in Draatina
Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Defendant	Received	11 - 20 Years in Practice
Piaintiii	Plaintiff 2	Defendant 1	Received 3	Cases Left Blank
Plaintiff 4				
	2	1	3	Cases Left Blank
	2 81	1 91	3 176	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases
	2 81 4	1 91 7	3 176 11	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases
	2 81 4 2	1 91 7 2	3 176 11 4	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases
	2 81 4 2	1 91 7 2	3 176 11 4	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases
4	2 81 4 2	1 91 7 2	3 176 11 4 3	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases
4 Defendent /	2 81 4 2 2	1 91 7 2 1	3 176 11 4 3 Surveys	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases
4 Defendent /	2 81 4 2 2 Plaintiff	1 91 7 2 1	3 176 11 4 3 Surveys Received	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice
4 Defendent /	2 81 4 2 2 Plaintiff 1	1 91 7 2 1 Defendant	3 176 11 4 3 Surveys Received	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank
4 Defendent /	2 81 4 2 2 Plaintiff 1	1 91 7 2 1 Defendant	3 176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1 63	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases
	2 81 4 2 2 Plaintiff 1	1 91 7 2 1 Defendant	3 176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1 63	Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases

More Than 30	Surveys			Defendent /
Years in Practice	Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Plaintiff
Cases Left Blank	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	18	8	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	7777	

How many lawyers are practicing in your firm or organization?

	Surveys	Average Number of Lawyers
Years Left Blank	Received	in their Organizations
0 - 40 Cases	2	23
	Surveys	Average Number of Lawyers
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	in their Organizations
0 - 20 Cases	37	38
21 - 40 Cases	1	2
	Surveys	Average Number of Lawyers
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	in their Organizations
0 - 20 Cases	75	70
21 - 40 Cases	6	43
41 - 60 Cases	2	258
More Than 60 Cases	6	38
	Surveys	Average Number of Lawyers
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received _	in their Organizations
Cases Left Blank	3	11
0 - 20 Cases	176	23
21 - 40 Cases	11	16
41 - 60 Cases	4	19
More Than 60 Cases	3	22
	Surveys	Average Number of Lawyers
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	in their Organizations
Cases Left Blank	11	2
0 - 20 Cases	63	21
21 - 40 Cases	1	12
41 - 60 Cases	11	30
More Than 60 Cases	1	18
More Than 30	Surveys	Average Number of Lawyers
Years in Practice	Received	in their Organizations
Cases Left Blank	1	3
0 - 20 Cases	27	13
21 - 40 Cases	11	25
41 - 60 Cases	1	2
More Than 60 Cases	1	5

Since January 1, 1989, approximately what percentage of your civil litigation practice consisted of representing plaintiffs?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2	2			
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	15	6		16
21 - 40 Cases					1
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	28	13	6	26
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	2		2
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2			4
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3	1			2
0 - 20 Cases	176	57	18	22	78
21 - 40 Cases	11	6	2		3
41 - 60 Cases	4	2			2
More Than 60 Cases	3	1			2
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	20	11	2	29
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	4	3	10
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

Question 8 (Omaha)

Since January 1, 1989, what percentage of your practice has been in Omaha?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		1
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	2		32
21 - 40 Cases					1
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	4	3	45
21 - 40 Cases	6	11			4
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		2	1
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	_38	8	7	94
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	1		5
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		1
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	_1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				11
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	4	1	33
21 - 40 Cases	1		,		1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	11				1
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	11				
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	1	1	14
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

Since January 1, 1989, what percentage of your practice has been in Lincoln?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1
				L.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	2	1	6
21 - 40 Cases				1	
No. of the last of					
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	3	4	19
21 - 40 Cases	6	4			2
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			3
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	51	7	6	44
21 - 40 Cases	11	3		1	6
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1			2
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	16	1		23
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	_ 1% - 10%_	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			
		······································			

Question 8 (North Platte)

Since January 1, 1989, what percentage of your practice has been in North Platte?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	11		1
21 - 40 Cases		1			
	0				
	Surveys	464 484			
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	<u> 1% - 10%</u>	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	11		4
21 - 40 Cases	6	3			
41 - 60 Cases	2	22			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	4	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	5	1		11
41 - 60 Cases	44		2		
More Than 60 Cases	3	33			
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	11				
0 - 20 Cases	63	5	2	11	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	11			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

Have you encountered delays in civil cases that you considered to be unreasonable?

	Surveys		
Years Left Blank	Received	No	Yes
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1
	Surveys		
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	37	25	12
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	
	Surveys		
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	75	39	35
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	4
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	66	1	5
	Surveys		
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	3	1	2
0 - 20 Cases	176	82	93
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	9
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2
	Surveys		
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1		1
0 - 20 Cases	63	37	26
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	
More Than 30	Surveys		
Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1		1
0 - 20 Cases	27	13	13
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	

Question 9 (A)

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

1

1

How much have tactics of opposing counsel contributed to delays?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	5	5	111
21 - 40 Cases	11				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	11	9	8
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2	11100000	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1		1
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	•		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	17	33	23	14
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	5	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		
	Surveys				_
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	<u>Moderate</u>	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	7	8	7	3
21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		
More man bo cases					
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank				11	
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	7	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	

Question 9 (B)

How much has conduct of clients contributed to delays?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	44	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	_				
	Surveys		2 11 1 .		.
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	20	10	3	1 1
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	2		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	59	20	7	
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	4	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	11	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		
	_				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	17	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	7	5	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (C)

How much has conduct of insurers contributed to delays?

	•				
Vacan Latt Diamir	Surveys	Nama	Climba	Moderate	Substantial
Years Left Blank 0 - 40 Cases	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
<u>0 - 40 Cases</u>	1	11			
	Surveye				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Cliabt	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	p	None 7	Slight		Jubstantiai
	37		4	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Survovo				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Stight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	25	Slight 5	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	4		J	<u> </u>
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1		
More Than oo Cases	0		<u> </u>		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1	Silgiti	Wioderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	176	52	19	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	176	6	19	2	10
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3	2			
Wore Thair of Cases	S				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	Notice	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	<u>'</u> 63	16	7	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	1	+			
More Than 60 Cases					
Wildle Half oo Cases					
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	None	Oligit	Moderate	1
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	5		3
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	J		<u> </u>
41 - 60 Cases	1	I .			
More Than 60 Cases	1				
iviore man ou cases	1				

Question 9 (D)

How much have personal or office practice inefficiencies contributed to delays?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	_ 2		1		
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	12		
21 - 40 Cases	6_	1	3		
41 - 60 Cases	22	11			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	11		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3			2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	43	32	9	
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	5		
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	15	5	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	4	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	-			

Question 9 (E)

How much have judicial inefficiencies contributed to delays?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate_	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	11	33	5	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys		-		
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	5	15	7
21 - 40 Cases	6			4	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1	1	1
	_				
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	13	_ 25	25	24
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	44	22	11
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		
More Than 60 Cases	3		2		
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	10	6	8	11
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				_
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	11	4	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (F)

41 - 60 Cases

1

More Than 60 Cases

How much has lack of adequate judicial resources contributed to delays?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	1	3	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	5	7	20
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				11
More Than 60 Cases	6	1		3	
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	6	27	49
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		3	5
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	11
More Than 60 Cases	3				2
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	7	16
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
	_				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27			3	9
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	

Substantial

Moderate

Slight

Question 9 (G)

Years Left Blank

How much has time expended by the Court on criminal docket contributed to delays?

None

Surveys

Received

0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys		-		
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1		2	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	6	6	15
21 - 40 Cases	6		2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		2	1
			L		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	9	8	22	48
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		3	5
41 - 60 Cases	4				2
More Than 60 Cases	3				2
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	8	14
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				11
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1 1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	11		1	12
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Have those cases in which you experienced unreasonable delay been unnecessarily costly in your opinion?

	Surveys		
Years Left Blank	Received	No	Yes
0 - 40 Cases	2		1
	Surveys		
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	37	8	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		
	Surveys		
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	18
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	4
	Surveys		
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	3		2
0 - 20 Cases	176	36	57
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	5
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3	2	
	Surveys		
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	15
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	1		
More Than 30	Surveys		
Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1		1
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		

Question 10 (A)

More Than 60 Cases

How much has conduct of counsel contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Survevs				
Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
2			1 1	
		<u> </u>		
Surveys				
Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
37		2	1	1
1				
Surveys				
Received	None None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
75	2	8	1	7
6		11		11
2		11		
_6	1			1
Surveys				
Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
3		_ 1	1	
_176	7	16	17	14
11	1	2		2
4			1	
3				
Surveys				
Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
1				
	3	4	6	2
1		-		
1			1	
1				
_				
•				
	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
			1	
27		4	1	1
1			1	
	Surveys Received 37 1 Surveys Received 75 6 2 6 Surveys Received 3 176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1 63 1 1 1	Received None 2 Surveys Received None 37 1 Surveys Received None 75 2 6 2 6 2 6 1 Surveys Received None 3 176 7 11 1 1 4 3 3 Surveys Received None 1 1 1 Surveys Received None 1 None 1	Received None Slight 2 Surveys Slight 37 2 1 2 1 Surveys Received None Slight 75 2 8 6 1 2 1 6 1 Surveys Received None Slight 3 1 176 7 16 11 1 2 4 3 Surveys Received None Slight 1 1 1 Surveys Received None Slight Surveys Received None Slight	Received None Slight Moderate 2 1 Surveys Received None Slight Moderate 37 2 1 1 1 1 Surveys Received None Slight Moderate 3 1 1 11 1 2 4 1 1 4 1 1 3 4 6 1 1 1 4 1 1 63 3 4 6 1 1 1 5urveys Received None Slight Moderate 1 1 1 Surveys Received None Slight Moderate 1 1 1

Question 10 (B)

How much has conduct of clients contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1 1		
L-		<u> </u>			
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	3		
21 - 40 Cases	1				
			<u> </u>		
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	6	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	
1					
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	30	18	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	2	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	4		
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (C)

How much has conduct of insurers contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Surveys

	Garreys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	22	1			
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	3			11
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3			
(Annual of the Control of the Contr			And the second s		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	28	10	3	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		9		
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	5		1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	<u> </u>				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		29	1	1
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	3		1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	-		
41 - 60 Cases	1	•			
More Than 60 Cases	1				
wicie manoo cases	I .				

Question 10 (D)

How much have personal or office practice inefficiencies contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	_2		1		
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2		
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	5		
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	11		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	25	24	4	
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3		
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	5	11	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		11		
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	2	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (E)

How much have judicial inefficiencies contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial						
O - 40 Cases 2		Surveys				
Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial	Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 37 1 1 1 1 1 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 - 10 Years in Practice Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 4 4 6 3 2 41 - 60 Cases 6 1 1 2 1 2 1 <t< td=""><td>0 - 40 Cases</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	0 - 40 Cases	2		1		
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 37 1 1 1 1 1 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 - 10 Years in Practice Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 4 4 6 3 2 41 - 60 Cases 6 1 1 2 1 2 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
O - 20 Cases 37						
Surveys Surv	0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Surveys Surv		37	1	11	11	1
5 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 4 4 6 3 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 2 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 1 Cases Left Blank 3 1 1 1 Cases Left Blank 3 1 2 1 1 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 2 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 3 3 1 3 1	21 - 40 Cases	1				
5 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 4 4 6 3 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 2 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 1 Cases Left Blank 3 1 1 1 1 Cases Left Blank 3 1 2 1						
0 - 20 Cases 75 4 4 6 3 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 2 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 1 1 Surveys 11 - 20 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 3 1 2 1		•				
21 - 40 Cases 6				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Moderate	
More Than 60 Cases 2			4	4	6	
More Than 60 Cases 6		6		1		2
Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial	41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial	More Than 60 Cases	6		11	1	11
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial						
Cases Left Blank 3 1 20 11 0 - 20 Cases 176 11 13 20 11 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 2 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 3 3 3 3 Surveys 21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4		-				
0 - 20 Cases 176 11 13 20 11 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 2 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 4 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 3 Surveys 21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 4	11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
21 - 40 Cases	Cases Left Blank	3		1		
A1 - 60 Cases	0 - 20 Cases	176	<u>11</u>	13	20	11
More Than 60 Cases 3 Surveys	21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	1	1
Surveys 21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial	41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 4 4 1 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 41 - 60 Cases 1	More Than 60 Cases	3				
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 4 4 1 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 41 - 60 Cases 1						
Cases Left Blank 1 0 - 20 Cases 63 6 4 1 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4 4 4 1 4		Surveys				
0 - 20 Cases 63 6 4 1 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 41 - 60 Cases 1	21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
21 - 40 Cases 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 0 - 20 Cases 27 3 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1	Cases Left Blank	1				
41 - 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 <td>0 - 20 Cases</td> <td>63</td> <td>6</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td>	0 - 20 Cases	63	6	4	1	4
More Than 60 Cases 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 3 1 3 0 - 20 Cases 27 3 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1	21 - 40 Cases	1				
More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 3 1 3 1 41 - 60 Cases 1	41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 3 1 3 0 - 20 Cases 27 3 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1	More Than 60 Cases	1				
Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 1 3 1 3 0 - 20 Cases 27 3 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1						
Cases Left Blank 1 1 0 - 20 Cases 27 3 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1	More Than 30	Surveys				
0 - 20 Cases 27 3 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1	Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cases Left Blank	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases 1	0 - 20 Cases	27		3	1	3
	21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases 1	41 - 60 Cases	1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (F)

More Than 60 Cases

How much has lack of adequate judicial resources contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

	Custovo				
Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2	110.10			1
0 10 0000					
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1			2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	_				
	Surveys		O		
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	2	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	6	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	
	Sugrava				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Cliabt	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	None	Slight 1	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	10	18	20
21 - 40 Cases	11		10	4	1
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3			<u> </u>	
Word Thair oo Cases					
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		T		
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	1	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27			4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (G)

How much has time expended by Court on criminal docket contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1			1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
C. 40 Veges in Departies	Surveys	Nama	Climba	Madagas	Cubatantial
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases	75 6	3	3	3	6
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
	6				
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	1
44 CO Verse in Danaties	Surveys	Nama	Olimba	Madazak	Out at a til
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3			1.	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	17	14	17
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4				1
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys		-		
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2		5	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	11				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11

Have you found civil litigation in Federal Court to be unnecessarily costly generally?

Years Left Blank Received No Yes 0 - 40 Cases 2 1 1 . Surveys O - 5 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 37 27 10 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 Surveys Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2 More Than 60 Cases 6 6		Surveys		
O - 40 Cases 2 1 1 . Surveys . . 0 - 5 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 37 27 10 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2	Years I eft Blank	•	No	Yes
Surveys 0 - 5 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 37 27 10 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2				
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 37 27 10 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2				
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 37 27 10 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2		Surveys		
Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2	0 - 5 Years in Practice		No	Yes
Surveys 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2	0 - 20 Cases	37	27	10
6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2	21 - 40 Cases	1	1	
6 - 10 Years in Practice Received No Yes 0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2				
0 - 20 Cases 75 49 26 21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2		Surveys		
21 - 40 Cases 6 3 3 41 - 60 Cases 2 2	6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	No	Yes
41 - 60 Cases 2 2	0 - 20 Cases	75	49	26
	21 - 40 Cases	6	3	3
More Than 60 Cases 6 6	41 - 60 Cases	2		2
	More Than 60 Cases	6	6	
Surveys		-		
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received No Yes		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		
Cases Left Blank 3 1 2	Cases Left Blank			
0 - 20 Cases 176 106 69	0 - 20 Cases		106	
21 - 40 Cases 11 8 3				
41 - 60 Cases 4 2 2		ļ		2
More Than 60 Cases 3 3	More Than 60 Cases	3	3	
_		_		
Surveys		•		
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received No Yes		Y		Yes
Cases Left Blank 1 1				
0 - 20 Cases 63 43 20				20
21 - 40 Cases 1 1		1	11	
41 - 60 Cases 1 1		1		
More Than 60 Cases 1 1	More Than 60 Cases	1		
More Than 30 Surveys	Mara Than 20	Sumova		
More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received No Yes			No	Vec
The state of the s				163
Cases Left Blank 1 1 0 - 20 Cases 27 13 14			·	11
21 - 40 Cases 1 1			13	
41 - 60 Cases 1 1				
More Than 60 Cases 1 1				1

Question 11 (A)

How much has conduct of counsel contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37		4	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	8	8	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys				_
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		11
0 - 20 Cases	176	6	16	25	18
21 - 40 Cases	11	2			1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	·			
0 - 20 Cases	63	44	6	5	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	5	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (B)

How much has conduct of clients contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	0				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	5	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	10	5	2
21 - 40 Cases	6		3		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1	11		
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	25	9	3
21 - 40 Cases	11	2		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	4		2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1	11			
Maria Than 00	0				
More Than 30	Surveys	Mana	01:-1-1	3 4 1 1	
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		-		
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (C)

How much has conduct of insurers contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	8	1	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				-
L					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	6	5	2
21 - 40 Cases	6	3			
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				
<u> </u>					
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	2			
0 - 20 Cases	176	24	18	8	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	5	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	11		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			
Man Than OC	0				
More Than 30	Surveys	3 .1	Official		
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	6		3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (D)

More Than 60 Cases

How much have personal or office practice inefficiencies contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	<u>Moderate</u>	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	4	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	11	2	
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6				
11 - 20 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank	Surveys Received 3	None	Slight 2	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	176	26	24	4	
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3				
21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	63	10	5	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		11		
More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	140116	<u> </u>	Moderate	Oubstantial
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1	· ·		
		<u>'</u>			

Question 11 (E)

How much have judicial inefficiencies contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	4	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	9	3
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	11		
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	20	21	6
21 - 40 Cases	11		2		1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	4	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	5	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (F)

How much has lack of adequate judicial resources contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 40 Cases 2 Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 5 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 2 5 9 6 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 6 1 </th <th></th> <th>Surveys</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		Surveys				
Surveys	Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 37 3 1 1 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 4 4 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 2 5 9 6 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1	0 - 40 Cases	2				
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 37 3 1 1 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 4 4 6 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 2 5 9 6 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 16 8 11 1						
O - 20 Cases 37 3 1 1 4		Surveys				
Surveys	0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial		37	3	1	1	4
6 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 2 5 9 6 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 1 Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 3 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 11 1	21 - 40 Cases	1				
6 - 10 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial 0 - 20 Cases 75 2 5 9 6 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 1 1 1 Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 3 1 1 1 1 21 - 40 Cases 11 1						
0 - 20 Cases 75 2 5 9 6 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 6 8 1		•				
21 - 40 Cases 6			None	Slight		Substantial
More Than 60 Cases 2			2	5	9	6
More Than 60 Cases 6 Surveys			1	1		1
Surveys Received None Slight Moderate Substantial				1		1
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial	More Than 60 Cases	6				
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial						
Cases Left Blank 3 1 1 0 - 20 Cases 176 8 11 19 19 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 4 1		•				
0 - 20 Cases 176 8 11 19 19 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 4 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 3 3 Surveys 21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1	·		None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
21 - 40 Cases 11 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 4 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 3 1 1 Surveys 21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>		3		1		1
More Than 60 Cases 4	0 - 20 Cases	176	8	11	19	19
Surveys Surv	21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
Surveys 21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial	41 - 60 Cases	4			11	
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1	More Than 60 Cases	3				
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1						
Cases Left Blank 1 0 - 20 Cases 63 6 2 3 7 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 1 3 4 4 0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1		Surveys				
0 - 20 Cases 63 6 2 3 7 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1 1	21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
21 - 40 Cases 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 3 4 4 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1 1	Cases Left Blank	1				
41 - 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 3 4 4 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1	0 - 20 Cases	63	6	2	3	7
More Than 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1	21 - 40 Cases	1				
More Than 30 Surveys Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1	41 - 60 Cases	1				1
Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1	More Than 60 Cases	1				1
Years in Practice Received None Slight Moderate Substantial Cases Left Blank 1 3 4 4 0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 1						
Cases Left Blank 1 0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 21 - 40 Cases 1 41 - 60 Cases 1		•				
0 - 20 Cases 27 1 3 4 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1	Years in Practice	Received	_None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cases Left Blank	1				
41 - 60 Cases 1 1	0 - 20 Cases	27	1	3	4	4
	21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases 1	41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
	More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (G)

How much has time expended by Court on criminal docket contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				
					<u> </u>
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	3	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	4	7	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1			1
0 - 20 Cases	176	10	11	23	12
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	2	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		
•					
More Than 30	Surveys				
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3		2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (A)

To what extent have unnecessary motions to dismiss contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	Cause	Cause		1
0 - 40 Cases	<u> </u>				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	Jause	1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		•		
21 - 40 Cases					<u> </u>
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	3	1	12
21 - 40 Cases	6	<u> </u>			2
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			
Wold Thair of Cases	<u> </u>				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		T	1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	12	11	15
21 - 40 Cases	11	-	14	1 1	2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3		•	***************************************	
Word That to Case					<u> </u>
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	4		7
21 - 40 Cases	1		•		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				
L					
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1			w.	1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
			L		L

Question 12 (B)

To what extent have unnecessary motions for summary judgment contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	4	4	10
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2			2	
More Than 60 Cases	6				1
		<u> </u>			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	11	13	14
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3			8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				
	***************************************	<u> </u>			
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
		 			

1

1

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

Question 12 (C)

To what extent has unnecessary use of interrogatories contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	15	11	12
21 - 40 Cases	11	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		5	1	7
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (D)

Cases Left Blank

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

To what extent have too many interrogatories contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1 1	Cause	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
21 40 00000	•				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	7	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6				1
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
	3	1 1	Cause	1	Cause
Cace Lett Blank I				ı	
Cases Left Blank		A	14	10	10
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	14	10	10
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases	176 11	8		1	10
0 - 20 Cases	176		14		10
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases	176 11 4			1	10
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases	176 11 4			1	10 Not a
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases	176 11 4 3	1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases	176 11 4 3 Surveys	1 Substantial	1 Moderate	1 1 Slight	Not a
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases	176 11 4 3 Surveys Received	1 Substantial	1 Moderate	1 1 Slight	Not a
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank	176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1	Substantial Cause	1 Moderate Cause	1 1 Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases	176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1 63	Substantial Cause	1 Moderate Cause	1 1 Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases	176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1 63 1	Substantial Cause	1 Moderate Cause	1 1 Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases	176 11 4 3 Surveys Received 1 63 1	Substantial Cause	1 Moderate Cause	1 1 Slight Cause	Not a Cause

Question 12 (E)

Years in Practice

Cases Left Blank

More Than 60 Cases

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases

41 - 60 Cases

Received

1

27

1

1

1

To what extent have overbroad interrogatories contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	6	_	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				1
1		<u> </u>			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	2			
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	18	6	7
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	6	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		-	-	
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a

Cause

1

Cause

2

1

1

Cause

Cause

Question 12 (F)

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

27

1

1

1

To what extent have too many depositions contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank Received Cause Cause <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						
Surveys Substantial Moderate Cause C		Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Surveys Substantial Moderate Cause C	Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	<u>Cause</u>
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received Cause C	0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
0 - 5 Years in Practice Received Cause C						
O - 20 Cases 37		Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Noderate Cause	0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Noderate Cause	0 - 20 Cases	37			4	3
6 - 10 Years in Practice Received Cause	21 - 40 Cases	1				
6 - 10 Years in Practice Received Cause						
0 - 20 Cases 75 2 4 7 21 - 40 Cases 6 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 2 2 2 More Than 60 Cases 6 8 2 2 More Than 60 Cases 6 8 8 8 8 11 - 20 Years in Practice Received Cause Cau		Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 40 Cases 6	6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
A1 - 60 Cases 2	0 - 20 Cases	75	2	4	7	7
More Than 60 Cases 6 Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight N	21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Noderate Cause	41 - 60 Cases	2			2	
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received Cause	More Than 60 Cases	6				1
11 - 20 Years in Practice Received Cause						
Cases Left Blank 3 3 0 - 20 Cases 176 12 11 12 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 4 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 3 3 Slight Notes and the process of t		Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 20 Cases 176 12 11 12 21 - 40 Cases 11 1 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 4 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 3 3 1 Noderate Slight Noderate Noderate Cause	11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
21 - 40 Cases 11 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	Cases Left Blank	3	3			
More Than 60 Cases 4	0 - 20 Cases	176	12	11	12	10
Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Notes Left Blank 1 0 - 20 Cases 63 3 3 3 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases Cause	21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Notes	41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received Cause Cause <th< td=""><td>More Than 60 Cases</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	More Than 60 Cases	3				
21 - 30 Years in Practice Received Cause Cause <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>						
Cases Left Blank 1 0 - 20 Cases 63 3 3 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 1 1 More Than 30 Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Notes and the content of the content o		Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 20 Cases 63 3 3 4 21 - 40 Cases 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 1 1 Wore Than 30 Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight No Years in Practice Received Cause Cause Cause Cause	21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
21 - 40 Cases 1 41 - 60 Cases 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 More Than 30 Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Note Than 30 Years in Practice Received Cause Cause	Cases Left Blank	1				
41 - 60 Cases 1 1 More Than 60 Cases 1 Image: Case of the control of the c	0 - 20 Cases	63	3	3	4	2
More Than 60 Cases 1 More Than 30 Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight Noverary in Practice Received Cause C	21 - 40 Cases	1				
More Than 30 Surveys Substantial Moderate Slight No Years in Practice Received Cause Cause Ca			1			
Years in Practice Received Cause Cause Cause Ca	More Than 60 Cases	1				
Years in Practice Received Cause Cause Cause Ca						
		•	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
			,	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank 1 1	Cases Left Blank	11	11			

4

1

Question 12 (G)

More Than 60 Cases

To what extent have too many deposition questions contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	7	3	7
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		
41 - 60 Cases	2			2	
More Than 60 Cases	6				
					
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	3			
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	13	14	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	2			
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	3	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	4		1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (H)

To what extent have overbroad document requests contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	4	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	4	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	2			
0 - 20 Cases	176	15	16	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2		
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	3	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	5			2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (I)

To what extent have overbroad responses to document production requests contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	2		4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	9	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	11	16	9
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1		4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (J)

To what extent has unavailability of witness or counsel contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

1

More Than 60 Cases

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	1	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	3	9	5
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	11	22	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	5	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27			5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
		 		····	

Question 12 (K)

To what extent has raising frivolous objections in discovery contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	
	_			00.1.	**
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		5	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	11				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	6	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	13	14	7
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	***************************************	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Suprove	Substantial	Moderate	Cliabt	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Cause	Cause	Slight Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	Cause	Cause	Cause	Jause
Cases Len Diank					

	Surveys	Substantiai	moderate	Silgnt	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	3	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27		2	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	11		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (L)

To what extent has failure to attempt in good faith to resolve disputes without court intervention contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
ears Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
) - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	3	11	11
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
5 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	7	5	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	17	13	4
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Suprovo	Substantial	Moderate	Clicht	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received			Slight Cause	
Cases Left Blank		Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
O - 20 Cases	1				
	63	3	4	4	2
21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases	1				
	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	i		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	1	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		_		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (M)

To what extent have unwarranted sanctions motions contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	Cause	Cause	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	3	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	8	10	22
21 - 40 Cases	11	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		1
More Than 60 Cases	3		3		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	3	1	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	1	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (N)

To what extent has lack of professional courtesy contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	6	8	2
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	2		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	9	17	12	11
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Tears III Practice	neceiveu	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	11				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	1	6	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	3		1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (O)

To what extent have requests for extensions of time contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2		4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	7	4	5
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	15	14	8
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				A

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	1	4	7
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question (13)

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

1

To what extent has ineffective case management by magistrate judges contributed to unnecessary delays or unreasonable costs?

	Surveys				
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1	
	Surveys				
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	22	9	4	
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
	Cumiova				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Climbs	Modorato	Substantial
			Slight	Moderate	T
0 - 20 Cases	75	44	18	9	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	4	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	4	2		
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	2	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	107	38	20	3
21 - 40 Cases	11	10	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2		
	Surveys				
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	46	11	5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	
	_				
More Than 30	Surveys				_
Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	21	6		
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		

Question 14 (A)

More Than 60 Cases

To what extent have delays in entering scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	5	2	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	_Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				-
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	11				

Question 14 (B)

To what extent have excessive time periods provided for in scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	2	5	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27			-	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1111

Question 14 (C)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery disputes promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			Cause Slight Cause	1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	2	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	5	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	3	
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				***

Question 14 (D)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery motions promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		3	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	2	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	5	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		2	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (E)

21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

1

To what extent has failure to resolve motions to dismiss promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	Cause	Oause	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
21 - 40 Cases	I .				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	4	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	6	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4			***************************************	
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		2	1	1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (F)

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

To what extent has failure to resolve motions for summary judgment promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Mode rate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	22		1		
	_			- 40 1 .	• • •
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2		
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	3	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Cliabt	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Slight Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	9	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	11	5	9	2	3
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				
More man de dages					
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (G)

To what extent has failure to resolve other motions promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	22				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2		2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	2	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	9	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		3	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (H)

To what extent has scheduling too many hearings on motions on different cases concurrently produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
					<u> </u>
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1	_			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			2	4
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176		1	1	15
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (I)

To what extent has failure to limit discovery to needs of the case produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	7 5	4	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
			-		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	1		4	12
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	3		1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (J)

To what extent has failure by magistrate judge to initiate settlement discussion produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	T			1
				<u> </u>	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			-	,
More Than 60 Cases	6				
1770717101700					L
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		04400		
0 - 20 Cases	176	3	1	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	- V	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				
More marres educes	<u> </u>				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				4
Wore Than 60 Gases					<u> </u>
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	- Cause	Cause	Caase	1 1
0 - 20 Cases	27		1		
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (K)

To what extent has inadequate supervision of settlement discussions produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	4	1	10
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			-	
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (L)

More Than 60 Cases

1

To what extent has inadequate judicial preparation for conferences or proceedings produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	0	O allo mika mikimil	1	Olimba	Nime m
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			<u> </u>	1
	_				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			1	2
21 - 40 Cases	11				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			2	4
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	11
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176		1	3	13
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				
			-		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1			······································	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1
	l				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
	-	 	_	···	

Question 14 (M)

To what extent has failure to enforce Local Rule of Practice 25 (regarding requirements for pretrial conference) produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				11
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
O O FOCIONITI FOCIOO	1 10001100	Cause	Caase	Cudoo	
0 - 20 Cases	37		Cause	3	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176		3	4	10
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1			3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (N)

To what extent has failure to enforce Progression Order deadlines produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	11			
	Cumana	Cubotostial	Moderate	Climbs	Not a
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	11	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	0	O 1		Oli to	A 1 - A
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	2		3
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				
	Summer of	O. dheatantial	Madayaka	Climba	Maka
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	1	2	12
04 40 0	4.4				

			14100001000	w./.g/ it	
- 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	1	2	12
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question (15)

More Than 30

Years in Practice

Cases Left Blank

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

To what extent has ineffective case management by district judges contributed to unnecessary delays or unreasonable costs?

Surveys

Received

1

27

1

1

	0				
Mark I of Missel	Surveys	. I.a	000		Outs at a set of
Years Left Blank	Received	None	Slight_	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	<u> </u>	
	•				
	Surveys	A .1	0 11 - 1 - 1	8 # _ u1 u _ u s .	O In . 4 a . 4 b . 1
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	15	15	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
	0				
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	37	13	14	7
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2	1	1
111010 11101 00000					-
	Surveys				
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	73	30	42	18
21 - 40 Cases	11	6	1	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1	i
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1	
More Than 60 Cases		<u> </u>	2	1	
More Than 60 Cases 21 - 30 Years in Practice	3 Surveys Received	None		Moderate	Substantial
	Surveys	None	2 Slight		Substantial
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None 40			Substantial 3
21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank	Surveys Received		Slight	Moderate	
21 - 30 Years in Practice Cases Left Blank 0 - 20 Cases	Surveys Received 1 63		Slight	Moderate 8	

None

16

1

1

Moderate

5

Slight

1

1

1

Substantial

Question 16 (A)

To what extent have delays in entering scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1		2	3
21 - 40 Cases	11				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			4	16
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	7	7	40
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	3
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3				1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (B)

Cases Left Blank

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

27

1

1

1

To what extent have excessive time periods provided for in scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Suprova	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Vancal of Blank	Surveys Received				• •
Years Left Blank		Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	2	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	•			•••	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1		4	15
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	8	9	38
21 - 40 Cases	11			2	2
41 - 60 Cases	4				1
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	63			4	7
21 - 40 Cases	<u>63</u>			1	
				1	4
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
Mara Than 00	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
More Than 30	Suiveys	Substantial	Moderate	Silgrit	INULA

1

3

Question 16 (C)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery disputes promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	1	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		4	3	13
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	9	18	17
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		3
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	1	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	11				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	2	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				
-					

Question 16 (D)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery motions promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	3	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		4	3	13
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	9	19	16
21 - 40 Cases	11			2	2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	2	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	1	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (E)

To what extent has failure to resolve motions to dismiss promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Subst ant ial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	3			3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	8	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6		1		1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	17	14	11	13
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	3	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (F)

To what extent has failure to resolve motions for summary judgment promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Verse Lett Diesele	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a Cause
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	22		1		
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	2		1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	8	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6		1		1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	25	22	4	7
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	4	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	1	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (G)

To what extent has failure to resolve other motions promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Voore Left Blank	-	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
	······································	Cause	Cause	Cause	
0 - 40 Cases	Surveys Surv				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	1	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
5 - 10 Years in Practice	-	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
		5	8	2	6
		2		1	_
41 - 60 Cases	2			-	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			1
			_		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			11	
0 - 20 Cases	176	16	18	9	14
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	-	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
			- Cause	Cause	<u> </u>
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	1	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		i		
	1		<u> </u>		
41 - 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	1	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (H)

To what extent has scheduling too many hearings on motions on different cases concurrently produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	2	17
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176		1	9	43
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	2
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			11	7
21 - 40 Cases	11				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				11
More Than 60 Cases	<u> </u>				
	_				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (I)

To what extent has failure to limit discovery to needs of the case produced ineffective case management by district judges?

27

1

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	_Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
				-	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	8	11
21 - 40 Cases	66			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2
	_				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	7	12	30
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			1
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	
				~ 11 1 .	•••
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	11				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				
Mara Than 00	C	O colon man matter t	Madaat	Oli l- i	NIA -
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				

1

3

3

2

Question 16 (\dot{J})

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

To what extent has failure by magistrate judge to initiate settlement discussion produced ineffective case management by district judges?

6

Years Left Blank 0 - 40 Cases	Surveys Received 2	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause 1
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	4	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	5	12
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	5	14	28
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		2	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		2	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1		6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (K)

To what extent has inadequate supervision of settlement discussions produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Cumiava	Culpatantial	Moderate	Climba	Not a
0 40 W	Surveys	Substantial		Slight	
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		2	4	14
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	<u>1</u>	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	6	2	14	31
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			11	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		3		5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (L)

To what extent has inadequate judicial preparation for conferences or proceedings produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
			·		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
					L
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			2	18
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2
		<u> </u>			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			Will Train	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	3	11	38
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		
to the second se					
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (M)

To what extent has failure to enforce Local Rule of Practice 25 (regarding requirements for pretrial conference) produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	2	17

- 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	2	17
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176		7	9	39
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27			3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (N)

41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

1

To what extent has failure to enforce Progression Order deadlines produced ineffective case management by district judges?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years Left Blank	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	Cause	1 1	Cause	Cause
0 - 40 Cases					
	Suprovo	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
O. E. Vanna in Drantina	Surveys			Slight	
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	11	1	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	11				
	0	Outher and al	Madazaka	Olimba	Nete
O do Vene in Decain	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	6				
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	8	17	28
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		
- Annual Control of the Control of t		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				
More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	Not a
Years in Practice	Received	Cause	Cause	Cause	Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	1	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 60 Cases	4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Question 19 (A)

Number of status conferences by district judges.

	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years Left Blank	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 40 Cases	2			2		
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	21	10	2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	45	16	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	4		1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	5		
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	3		1	2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	3	120	29	12
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		8	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4			2		2
More Than 60 Cases	3			3		
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63		5	45	10	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	***************************************	
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 30	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	20	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1			***	1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	**************************************	
More Than 60 Cases	1					

Question 19 (B)

Deadlines by district judges.

41 - 60 Cases More Than 60 Cases

	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years Left Blank	Received	Restrictive	Restrictive	Number	Permissive	Permissive
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too		Somewhat	Far
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Restrictive	Restrictive	Number	Permissive	Permissive
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	11	20		3
21 - 40 Cases	1				11	
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too		Somewhat	Far
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Restrictive	Restrictive	Number	Permissive	Permissive
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	23	36	7	4
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	5		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6		2	3	1	
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Restrictive	Restrictive	Number	Permissive	Permissive
Cases Left Blank	3		1	2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	42	100	16	1
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1	8		
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	3		
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	
	<u> </u>		-			
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Restrictive	Restrictive	Number	Permissive	Permissive
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	22	35	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		
		, <u> </u>	<u> </u>			
More Than 30	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years in Practice	Received	Restrictive	Restrictive	Number	Permissive	Permissive
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	12	10		2
21 - 40 Cases	1	-		1		

Question 19 (C)

Pretrial conferences by district judges.

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 40 Cases	2			2		
0 5 V ' - B ' -	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	28	3	
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	·	
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	61	6	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			4	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	5		

	Surveys		Somewhat too		Somewhat	Far
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	3			3		
0 - 20 Cases	176	3	6	141	14	3
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		10		
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3			3		

	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	4	50	6	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		22		
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					

Question 19 (D)

Extension of deadlines by district judges.

	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years Left Blank	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 40 Cases	2		11	11		
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	7	24	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	13	46	7	
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	4	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2	****		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6		2	3	1	
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	3		1	2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	10	19	121	14	
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	7	3	
41 - 60 Cases	4			3		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	2		
L						
	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	6	44	9	1 1
21 - 40 Cases	1	_	1			<u> </u>
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1			<u>.</u>		
111010 111010 00000	, ,					<u> </u>
More Than 30	Surveys	Far too	Somewhat too	Resonable	Somewhat	Far
Years in Practice	Received	Many	Many	Number	too Few	too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	2	17	3	

1

1

1

21 - 40 Cases 41 - 60 Cases

More Than 60 Cases

What effect would requiring shorter time limits for completing the various stages of litigation have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	13	8	2	9
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	17	12	7	28
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		2	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2		1	2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	15	43	28	28	57
21 - 40 Cases	11			5	2	4
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3	2	1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	6	10	14	10	20
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	4	4	2	10
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1					1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring counsel to attempt to resolve issues before court intervention have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Siight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	7	15	12	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	22	24	12	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	3	1	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		2			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	2	3	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	26	66	47	29	5
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	7	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3	2	1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	18	18	9	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	10	7	3	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would permitting conferences or hearings with the court on any motion at the request of any party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

and the second of					
Years Left Blank Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases 2		1	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	9	11	9	4
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	10	20	23	10
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		2	3	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1		3	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	29	41	47	38	14
21 - 40 Cases	11		3	4	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	4			3		1
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	11	10	16	10
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1		***************************************		
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7	12	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 23 (A)

What effect would requiring conferences or hearings with the court for dispositive motions have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still Is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	12	15	7	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	19	19	16	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1		3	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		2	2	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	42	63	32	24	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	4	3		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	2		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ail	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	15	13	16	10	6
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	16	5	3	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1	-		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 23 (B)

What effect would requiring conferences or hearings with the court for discovery motions have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	15	10	10	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	17	21	22	3
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			4	
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1		1	4	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Alf	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	44	48	49	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	2	3	4	
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	7	20	8	17	5
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	6	10	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring conferences or hearings with the court for motions other than discovery or dispositive have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	11	9	9	3
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	28	19	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	3	
41 - 60 Cases	2			_	2	
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	4	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3				2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	11	31	61	45	8
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1	4	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	7	10	13	19	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Alf	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	5	12	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

What effect would permitting the filing of procedural, non-dispositive motions (for example, motions to amend and motions to add parties) by letter rather than formal motion and brief have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1	1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	12	13	6	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	21	21	18	10	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	2	2		
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		2		4	

	Surveys	Substantiai	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	51	54	27	27	12
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	5	1	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		2	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	14	21	9	10	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	11	7	5	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

What effect would providing a 30-page limitation for memoranda of law, except for good cause shown, have on civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	16	4	4	3
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	20	24	19	7	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	2	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			1
More Than 60 Cases	6			2	3	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	39	60	44	19	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	4	2	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	2		
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	14	18	15	8	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	8	3	7	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring mandatory non-binding arbitration of all disputes in which the amount in controversy is less than \$100,000 have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	8	4	2	13
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ali	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	16	13	9	10	24
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	_	1	1	1	3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	2		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	42	37	25	20	46
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	1	2	4
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	3					3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	11	8	4	20
21 - 40 Cases	1		_			1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	4	3	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring mandatory non-binding arbitration of all disputes in which the amount in controversy is less than \$200,000 have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	10	5	3	12
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	14	8	10	26
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	25	29	25	22	50
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	1	2	5
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3			_		3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	5	7	6	18
21 - 40 Cases	1			-		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	4	4	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	1					
41 - 60 Cases	1					
More Than 60 Cases	1					

What effect would requiring mandatory non-binding arbitration of all disputes in which the amount in controversy is less than \$1,000,000 have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	7	8	4	12
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	10	8	13	28
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	18	21	26	29	55
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		3	5
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3					3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	2	7	7	20
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ali	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	2	5	5	11
21 - 40 Cases	1					
41 - 60 Cases	1					
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would providing court-sponsored mediation for some or all issues in dispute have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	14	9	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	31	15	8	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	2	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2		2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1		1	1	3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	2			1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	46	55	38	17	17
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	5	2		3
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2			1
More Than 60 Cases	3	2		1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	18	17	9	4	10
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	6	6	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

What effect would making available attorneys who are experts in the subject matters in dispute to evaluate claims and defenses and to assist parties in settlement negotiations ("early neutral evaluation") have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

21 - 40 Cases

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	11	7	3	5

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	16	20	21	7	11
21 - 40 Cases	6		2	3	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			3	2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	43	52	34	22	20
21 - 40 Cases	11		5	4	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2			1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	18	9	5	14
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	4	4	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

What effect would requiring attendance of parties and/or their insurers at court settlement conferences have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	12	14	5	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	29	23	11	6	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	3	1	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2		2	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ail	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	55	55	33	19	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	4	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	18	18	8	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7	5	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring Rule 11 sanctions motions to be separately filed and not appended to another motion have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				2	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	8	14	13	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	21	18	26	3
21 - 40 Cases	6	2		2	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1		3	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
Cases Left Blank	3				3	
0 - 20 Cases	176	14	47	49	53	2
21 - 40 Cases	11		2	7	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	10	11	17	16	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1 1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	8	7	5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

What effect would increasing availability of telephone conferences or hearings with the court have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	19	4	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
	Surv ey s	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
	75	25	29	14	5	
0 - 20 Cases					1	
0 - 20 Cases 21 - 40 Cases	6	2	3		1 1	
	6 2	1	3		1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	63	73	28	7	1
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	2	4	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		3	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3	2		1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	26	18	8	5	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	13	7	3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring automatic disclosure of the identity of witnesses reasonably likely to have information which bears significantly upon claims, defenses or damages have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Vacra Latt Dianis	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Ide
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	•			•		
0-5 fears in Fractice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Ide
0 - 20 Cases	37	14	16	5		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	25	15	7	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1	2	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	з	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	51	61	31	15	15
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	6	2		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1			3
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	20	14	13	7	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	7	1	2	7
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 32 (B)

What effect would requiring automatic disclosure of the general description of documents relied upon in preparing pleadings or contemplated to be used in support of the parties' allegations or calculations of damages have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

No. 1 (Sept. 1991) in 1	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	14	14	6	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	20	24	14	7	7
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1

	Surveys	Substantiai	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	50	63	25	16	17
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	5	2		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ali	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	19	16	13	6	5
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	7	7	2	3	7
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 32 (C)

What effect would requiring automatic disclosure of the existence and contents of insurance agreements have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				2	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 5 Years in Practice 0 - 20 Cases	•			_		- ,

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	21	17	13	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	3		1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	47	49	35	26	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	3	6	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	3		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	16	16	10	11	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	7	5	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

0 - 20 Cases

21 - 40 Cases

37

1

What effect would conditioning grants by the court of broader discovery upon the shifting of costs in instances where the burden of responding to such requests appears to be out of proportion to the amounts or issues in dispute have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

6

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1	
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea

18

2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	15	30	9	10	11
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	3	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1		1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	41	69	25	10	26
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	7	3		
41 - 60 Cases	4		3			1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	18	17	10	3	12
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	8	8	4	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	Í			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would defining the scope of permissible discovery by balancing the burden or expenses of the discovery against its likely benefit have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1	
	Surv ey s	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Siight	No Effect	Wight Expedite Trial of
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	13	6	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	25	10	9	20
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2		1	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1	1		***
0 - 20 Cases	176	28	54	40	8	42
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	6	3		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	2	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	13	22	5	6	14
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	10	4	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

21 - 40 Cases

What effect would assessing the costs of discovery motions on the losing party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1		1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	a	9	8		11

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	14	23	15	4	18
21 - 40 Cases	6			3	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2	1	1	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	2		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	31	46	33	10	53
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	4	1		3
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1	2
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	5	12	12	6	24
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	3	4	2	12
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would assessing the costs of motions to dismiss on the losing party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
			1	1
	Effect	Effect Effect	Effect Effect Effect	Effect Effect At All

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	7	10		17
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	12	15	10	28
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	2	1	2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	26	28	33	14	71
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	2	1		5
41 - 60 Cases	4			1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		1	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	6	9	9	31
21 - 40 Cases	1			_		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ail	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	4	2	3	14
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would assessing the costs of motions for summary judgment on the losing party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

21 - 40 Cases

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				1	1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	6	8	1	19

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	9	11	11	36
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	22	29	35	12	74
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1	1		7
41 - 60 Cases	4			1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3		1			2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	3	11	8	32
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	3	2	4	15
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would providing less time for completion of discovery have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1				1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice						
0 - 5 Tears III Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	Effect 11	Effect 9	2	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad idea

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	9	19	7	31
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6		1		3	2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	10	33	42	26	62
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	6	1	3
41 - 60 Cases	4		2			2
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	9	9	7	29
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	8	3	3	10
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring discovery relating to particular issues (e.g., venue, class certification) or a specified stage of the case (e.g., liability) to be completed before permitting discovery respecting other issues or another stage (e.g., damages, experts) have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1		1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	13	8	4	7
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	7 5	12	24	16	13	10
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	3		2	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1		1	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		2		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	23	66	28	32	21
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3	3	3	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	6 3	12	13	12	11	10
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	9	4	5	6
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1					1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would limiting the number of interrogatories permitted have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	11	13	4	3
21 - 40 Cases	4		4			

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	20	24	12	7
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	2		1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6			2	2	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	21	63	54	19	15
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3	4	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2			1
More Than 60 Cases	3		2		1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At Ali	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	18	18	8	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	8	6	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

21 - 40 Cases

What effect would limiting the type of interrogatories (e.g., identification, contention) permitted at various stages of discovery have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1			
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	11	9	4	9

1

1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	17	19	17	12
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6			2	2	1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3				2	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	21	52	52	24	22
21 - 40 Cases	11		4	4	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1	1	

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	14	17	11	8
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	5	6	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would limiting the number of depositions permitted have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	6	5	1	22
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
	S	Ouk-t-wi-l	14	Climba	No Cura	Michi Curadita Trial as
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	15	15	6	32
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	2	2
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1			1
0 - 20 Cases	176	24	33	31	15	69
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	4		1	5
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	2		1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1		1		1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	6	14	10	6	23
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					11

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	9	3	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would limiting the length of depositions permitted have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years Left Blank	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1		1
	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	4	5	1	26
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	15	9	8	37
21 - 40 Cases	6			4	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	2	2

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1			1
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	28	3 3	21	69
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3		1	5
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1		1

	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	8	11	5	7	28
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1 1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30	Surveys	Substantial	Moderate	Slight	No Effect	Might Expedite Trial or
Years in Practice	Received	Effect	Effect	Effect	At All	Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	7	3	1	11
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

Moderately Substantially

Remained

Question 47

During the past three years, the cost and time it takes to litigate civil actions has:

Surveys Substantiall Moderaltely

Years Left Blank	Received	Improved	Improved	Unchanged	Worsened	Worsened
0 - 40 Cases	2			1		1
	Surveys	Substantiall	Moderaltely	Remained	Moderately	Substantially
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	Improved	Improved	Unchanged	Worsened	Worsened
0 - 20 Cases	37		3	_16	11	1
21 - 40 Cases	11			1 1		
	Surveys	Substantiall	Moderaltely	Remained	Moderately	Substantially
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	Improved	Improved	Unchanged	Worsened	Worsened
0 - 20 Cases	75	mpioved	6	27	27	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		U	2	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1	<u> </u>
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	2	2	
More man oc Cases						
	Surveys	Substantiall	Moderaltely	Remained	Moderately	Substantially
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	Improved	Improved	Unchanged	Worsened	Worsened
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	10	71	59	20
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	2	3	2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1	2
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	2	
	Surveys	Substantiall	Moderaltely	Remained	Moderately	Substantially
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	Improved	Improved	Unchanged	Worsened	Worsened
Cases Left Blank	1			1		į
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	7	23	19	8
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		
Mara Than 00	0	المندسية ماري	. Andron Italy	D = i	Ada alayakalı	0
More Than 30	-	Substantiall	-		Moderately	•
Years in Practice	Received	Improved	Improved	Unchanged	Worsened	Worsened
Cases Left Blank	1			4.4		1
0 - 20 Cases	27		2	11	7	2
21 - 40 Cases					1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	-	
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			<u> </u>

Since January 1, 1989, how many months (on average) has it taken from the time your civil cases in federal courts of Nebraska were ready for trial to the time that trial actually commenced?

	Surveys		1 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 20	More Than
Years Left Blank	Received	N/A	Months	Months	Months	21 Months
0 - 40 Cases	2				2	
	Surveys		1 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 20	More Than
0 - 5 Years in Practice	Received	N/A	Months	Months	Months	21 Months
0 - 20 Cases	37	16	5	3	6	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
	Surveys		1 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 20	More Than
6 - 10 Years in Practice	Received	N/A	Months	Months	Months	21 Months
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	8	15	11	12
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2				1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	1	1
	Surveys		1 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 20	More Than
11 - 20 Years in Practice	Received	N/A	Months	Months	Months	21 Months
Cases Left Blank	3	1		***************************************		2
0 - 20 Cases	176	58	19	43	25	24
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3		2	3
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1	11	
	Surveys		1 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 20	More Than
21 - 30 Years in Practice	Received	N/A	Months	Months	Months_	21 Months
Cases Left Blank	1					
0 - 20 Cases	63	15	12	11	15	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1					1
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 30	Surveys		1 - 6	7 - 12	13 - 20	More Than
Years in Practice	Received	N/A	Months	Months	Months	21 Months
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	4	4	6	1
21 - 40 Cases	11			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		