


CJRA



Attorney Survey Results

Question 3

Since January 1, 1989, approximately what percentage of your civil litigation practice was in the Federal Court in Nebraska?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	24	3	3	6
21 - 40 Cases					1

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	48	10	10	6
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	4
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	5

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2
0 - 20 Cases	176	104	34	10	25
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	1	8
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3				3

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	40	11	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	20	4	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

Question 4

How would you best describe your practice setting?

Years Left Blank	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate	Federal		Local	Independent
	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Non-profit Organization
0 - 40 Cases	2	1					1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate	Federal		Local	Independent
	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Non-profit Organization
0 - 20 Cases	37	35				1	1	
21 - 40 Cases						1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate	Federal		Local	Independent
	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Non-profit Organization
0 - 20 Cases	75	60	1	4	1	7	2	
21 - 40 Cases	6	5	1					
41 - 60 Cases	2	1				1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1			2	1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate	Federal		Local	Independent
	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Non-profit Organization
Cases Left Blank	3	2						
0 - 20 Cases	176	156	6	3	2	4	7	
21 - 40 Cases	11	8		1		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1		2		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1				2		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate	Federal		Local	Independent
	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Non-profit Organization
Cases Left Blank	1	1						
0 - 20 Cases	63	58	2	2		1		1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1						
41 - 60 Cases	1	1						
More Than 60 Cases	1	1						

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys	Private	State	Corporate	Federal		Local	Independent
	Received	Law Firm	Government	Counsel	Other	Government	Government	Non-profit Organization
Cases Left Blank	1	1						
0 - 20 Cases	27	26			1			
21 - 40 Cases	1	1						
41 - 60 Cases	1	1						
More Than 60 Cases	1	1						

Has your work in Federal Court been primarily on behalf of the plaintiff or defendant?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Defendent / Plaintiff
0 - 40 Cases	2	2		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Defendent / Plaintiff
0 - 20 Cases	37	21	16	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1	

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Defendent / Plaintiff
0 - 20 Cases	75	48	27	
21 - 40 Cases	6	4	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	4	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Defendent / Plaintiff
Cases Left Blank	3	1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	91	81	4
21 - 40 Cases	11	7	4	
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Defendent / Plaintiff
Cases Left Blank	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	32	31	
21 - 40 Cases	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	1	1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Defendant	Plaintiff	Defendent / Plaintiff
Cases Left Blank	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	18	8	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	1	1		

Question 6

How many lawyers are practicing in your firm or organization?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Average Number of Lawyers in their Organizations
0 - 40 Cases	2	23

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Average Number of Lawyers in their Organizations
0 - 20 Cases	37	38
21 - 40 Cases	1	2

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Average Number of Lawyers in their Organizations
0 - 20 Cases	75	70
21 - 40 Cases	6	43
41 - 60 Cases	2	258
More Than 60 Cases	6	38

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Average Number of Lawyers in their Organizations
Cases Left Blank	3	11
0 - 20 Cases	176	23
21 - 40 Cases	11	16
41 - 60 Cases	4	19
More Than 60 Cases	3	22

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Average Number of Lawyers in their Organizations
Cases Left Blank	1	2
0 - 20 Cases	63	21
21 - 40 Cases	1	12
41 - 60 Cases	1	30
More Than 60 Cases	1	18

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Average Number of Lawyers in their Organizations
Cases Left Blank	1	3
0 - 20 Cases	27	13
21 - 40 Cases	1	25
41 - 60 Cases	1	2
More Than 60 Cases	1	5

Question 7

Since January 1, 1989, approximately what percentage of your civil litigation practice consisted of representing plaintiffs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2	2			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	15	6		16
21 - 40 Cases					1

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	28	13	6	26
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	2		2
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2			4

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3	1			2
0 - 20 Cases	176	57	18	22	78
21 - 40 Cases	11	6	2		3
41 - 60 Cases	4	2			2
More Than 60 Cases	3	1			2

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	20	11	2	29
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	0% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	4	3	10
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

Question 8 (Omaha)

Since January 1, 1989, what percentage of your practice has been in Omaha?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	2		32
21 - 40 Cases					1

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	4	3	45
21 - 40 Cases	6	1			4
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		2	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	38	8	7	94
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	1		5
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	4	1	33
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	1	1	14
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

Question 8 (Lincoln)

Since January 1, 1989, what percentage of your practice has been in Lincoln?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	2	1	6
21 - 40 Cases				1	

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	3	4	19
21 - 40 Cases	6	4			2
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			3

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	51	7	6	44
21 - 40 Cases	11	3		1	6
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1			2

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	16	1		23
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

Question 8 (North Platte)

Since January 1, 1989, what percentage of your practice has been in North Platte?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	1		1
21 - 40 Cases		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	1		4
21 - 40 Cases	6	3			
41 - 60 Cases	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	4	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	5	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		
More Than 60 Cases	3	3			

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	5	2	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	1% - 10%	11% - 25%	26% - 40%	41% - 100%
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

Question 9

Have you encountered delays in civil cases that you considered to be unreasonable?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	37	25	12
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	75	39	35
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	4
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	5

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	3	1	2
0 - 20 Cases	176	82	93
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	9
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1		1
0 - 20 Cases	63	37	26
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1		1
0 - 20 Cases	27	13	13
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	

Question 9 (A)

How much have tactics of opposing counsel contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	5	5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	11	9	8
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1		1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3			2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	17	33	23	14
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	5	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	7	8	7	3
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	7	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (B)

How much has conduct of clients contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	4	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	20	10	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	2		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	59	20	7	
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	4	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	17	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	7	5	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (C)

How much has conduct of insurers contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys				
	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	1	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys				
	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	7	4	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys				
	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	25	5	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	4			
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys				
	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	52	19	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	6		2	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3	2			

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys				
	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	16	7	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys				
	Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	5		3
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (D)

How much have personal or office practice inefficiencies contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	12		
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	3		
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3			2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	43	32	9	
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	5		
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	15	5	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	4	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (E)

How much have judicial inefficiencies contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	3	5	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	5	15	7
21 - 40 Cases	6			4	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1	1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	13	25	25	24
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	4	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		
More Than 60 Cases	3		2		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	10	6	8	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	4	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (F)

How much has lack of adequate judicial resources contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	1	3	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	5	7	20
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1		3	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	6	27	49
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		3	5
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3				2

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	7	16
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27			3	9
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 9 (G)

How much has time expended by the Court on criminal docket contributed to delays?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1		2	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	6	6	15
21 - 40 Cases	6		2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		2	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	9	8	22	48
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		3	5
41 - 60 Cases	4				2
More Than 60 Cases	3				2

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	8	14
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		1	12
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10

Have those cases in which you experienced unreasonable delay been unnecessarily costly in your opinion?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 40 Cases	2		1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	37	8	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	18
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	4

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	3		2
0 - 20 Cases	176	36	57
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	5
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3	2	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	15
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1		1
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		

Question 10 (A)

How much has conduct of counsel contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	8	1	7
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	16	17	14
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2		2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	4	6	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27		4	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (B)

How much has conduct of clients contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	3		
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	6	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	30	18	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	2	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	4		
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (C)

How much has conduct of insurers contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	3			1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3			

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	28	10	3	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	5		1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	3		1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (D)

How much have personal or office practice inefficiencies contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2		
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	5		
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	25	24	4	
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3		
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	5	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	2	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (E)

How much have judicial inefficiencies contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	1	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

5 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	4	6	3
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		2
41 - 60 Cases	2	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	11	13	20	11
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	6	4	1	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27		3	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (F)

How much has lack of adequate judicial resources contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1			2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	2	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	6	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	10	18	20
21 - 40 Cases	11			4	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	1	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27			4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 10 (G)

How much has time expended by Court on criminal docket contributed to costs in unreasonably delayed cases?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1			1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	3	3	6
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	17	14	17
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4				1
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2		5	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11

Have you found civil litigation in Federal Court to be unnecessarily costly generally?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	37	27	10
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
0 - 20 Cases	75	49	26
21 - 40 Cases	6	3	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		2
More Than 60 Cases	6	6	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	3	1	2
0 - 20 Cases	176	106	69
21 - 40 Cases	11	8	3
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2
More Than 60 Cases	3	3	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	43	20
21 - 40 Cases	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	No	Yes
Cases Left Blank	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	13	14
21 - 40 Cases	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1	

Question 11 (A)

How much has conduct of counsel contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37		4	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	8	8	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1
0 - 20 Cases	176	6	16	25	18
21 - 40 Cases	11	2			1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	6	5	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	5	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (B)

How much has conduct of clients contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	5	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	10	5	2
21 - 40 Cases	6		3		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	25	9	3
21 - 40 Cases	11	2		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	4		2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (C)

How much has conduct of insurers contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	8	1	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

5 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	6	5	2
21 - 40 Cases	6	3			
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	2			
0 - 20 Cases	176	24	18	8	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	5	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	6		3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (D)

How much have personal or office practice inefficiencies contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	4	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	11	2	
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	26	24	4	
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	10	5	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7		
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (E)

How much have judicial inefficiencies contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	4	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	9	3
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	20	21	6
21 - 40 Cases	11		2		1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	4	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	5	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (F)

How much has lack of adequate judicial resources contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	1	1	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	5	9	6
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	11	19	19
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	6	2	3	7
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	3	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 11 (G)

How much has time expended by Court on criminal docket contributed to costs in civil litigation in Federal Court?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	3	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	4	7	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	1			1
0 - 20 Cases	176	10	11	23	12
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	2	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3		2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (A)

To what extent have unnecessary motions to dismiss contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	3	1	12
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	12	11	15
21 - 40 Cases	11				2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	4		7
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (B)

To what extent have unnecessary motions for summary judgment contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	4	4	10
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2			2	
More Than 60 Cases	6				1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	11	13	14
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3			8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (C)

To what extent has unnecessary use of interrogatories contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6				1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	15	11	12
21 - 40 Cases	11	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		5	1	7
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (D)

To what extent have too many interrogatories contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1		4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	7	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6				1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	14	10	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	6	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	2		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (E)

To what extent have overbroad interrogatories contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	2			
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	18	6	7
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	6	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	2		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (F)

To what extent have too many depositions contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			4	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	4	7	7
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2			2	
More Than 60 Cases	6				1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	3			
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	11	12	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	3	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (G)

To what extent have too many deposition questions contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	7	3	7
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		
41 - 60 Cases	2			2	
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	3			
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	13	14	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	2			
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	3	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	4		1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (H)

To what extent have overbroad document requests contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	4	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	4	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	2			
0 - 20 Cases	176	15	16	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	3	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	5			2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (I)

To what extent have overbroad responses to document production requests contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	2		4
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	9	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	11	16	9
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1		4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (J)

To what extent has unavailability of witness or counsel contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	1	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	3	3	9	5
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	11	22	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	5	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27			5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (K)

To what extent has raising frivolous objections in discovery contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		5	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	6	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	13	14	7
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	3	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27		2	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (L)

To what extent has failure to attempt in good faith to resolve disputes without court intervention contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	7	5	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	17	13	4
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	4	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	1	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (M)

To what extent have unwarranted sanctions motions contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	3	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				2
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	8	10	22
21 - 40 Cases	11	1			1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		1
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	3	1	8
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	1	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (N)

To what extent has lack of professional courtesy contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	6	8	2
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	2		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	9	17	12	11
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	1	6	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	3		1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 12 (O)

To what extent have requests for extensions of time contributed to unnecessary delay or cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2		4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	7	4	5
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		2		
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	8	15	14	8
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	1	4	7
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question (13)

To what extent has ineffective case management by magistrate judges contributed to unnecessary delays or unreasonable costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	22	9	4	
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	44	18	9	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	4	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	4	2		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3	2	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	107	38	20	3
21 - 40 Cases	11	10	1		
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2		
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1	1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	46	11	5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	21	6		
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

Question 14 (A)

To what extent have delays in entering scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	5	2	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1			1	
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (B)

To what extent have excessive time periods provided for in scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	2	5	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (C)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery disputes promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	2	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	5	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (D)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery motions promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		3	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	2	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	5	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		2	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (E)

To what extent has failure to resolve motions to dismiss promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2			2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	4	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	6	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		2	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (F)

To what extent has failure to resolve motions for summary judgment promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	2		
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	3	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	9	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (G)

To what extent has failure to resolve other motions promptly produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2		2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	2	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	9	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		3	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (H)

To what extent has scheduling too many hearings on motions on different cases concurrently produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			2	4
21 - 40 Cases	6				2
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176		1	1	15
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (I)

To what extent has failure to limit discovery to needs of the case produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	1		2
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	1		4	12
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	3		1
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (J)

To what extent has failure by magistrate judge to initiate settlement discussion produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	3	1	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (K)

To what extent has inadequate supervision of settlement discussions produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	4	1	10
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (L)

To what extent has inadequate judicial preparation for conferences or proceedings produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			2	4
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176		1	3	13
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (M)

To what extent has failure to enforce Local Rule of Practice 25 (regarding requirements for pretrial conference) produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			3	3
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176		3	4	10
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1			3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 14 (N)

To what extent has failure to enforce Progression Order deadlines produced ineffective case management by magistrate judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	2		3
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	1	2	12
21 - 40 Cases	11				
41 - 60 Cases	4				
More Than 60 Cases	3				

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				1
0 - 20 Cases	27				
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question (15)

To what extent has ineffective case management by district judges contributed to unnecessary delays or unreasonable costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	37	15	15	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1	1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
0 - 20 Cases	75	37	13	14	7
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2	1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	73	30	42	18
21 - 40 Cases	11	6	1	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	40	12	8	3
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	None	Slight	Moderate	Substantial
Cases Left Blank	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	16	1	5	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1			

Question 16 (A)

To what extent have delays in entering scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1		2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			4	16
21 - 40 Cases	6		1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	7	7	40
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	3
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3				1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (B)

To what extent have excessive time periods provided for in scheduling orders produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	2	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1		4	15
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	8	9	38
21 - 40 Cases	11			2	2
41 - 60 Cases	4				1
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			1	7
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (C)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery disputes promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	1	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		4	3	13
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	9	18	17
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		3
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	1	4	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	2	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (D)

To what extent has failure to resolve discovery motions promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	3	1	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		4	3	13
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	12	9	19	16
21 - 40 Cases	11			2	2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	2	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	1	1	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (E)

To what extent has failure to resolve motions to dismiss promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	3			3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	8	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6		1		1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	17	14	11	13
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	3	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (F)

To what extent has failure to resolve motions for summary judgment promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	2		1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	8	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6		1		1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	25	22	4	7
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	4	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	1	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (G)

To what extent has failure to resolve other motions promptly produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	1	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	8	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	6	2		1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3			1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	16	18	9	14
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	1	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	1	2	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (H)

To what extent has scheduling too many hearings on motions on different cases concurrently produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	2	17
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176		1	9	43
21 - 40 Cases	11			1	2
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3				1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63			1	7
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	1	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (I)

To what extent has failure to limit discovery to needs of the case produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	8	11
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3	1			
0 - 20 Cases	176	4	7	12	30
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1			1
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	2	3	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (J)

To what extent has failure by magistrate judge to initiate settlement discussion produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	4	
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	5	12
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	5	14	28
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		2	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3				1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		2	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1		6
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (K)

To what extent has inadequate supervision of settlement discussions produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	3	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		2	4	14
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	6	2	14	31
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1	
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		3		5
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (L)

To what extent has inadequate judicial preparation for conferences or proceedings produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37			3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75			2	18
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	3	11	38
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (M)

To what extent has failure to enforce Local Rule of Practice 25 (regarding requirements for pretrial conference) produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75		1	2	17
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3				1
0 - 20 Cases	176		7	9	39
21 - 40 Cases	11		1		2
41 - 60 Cases	4		1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	1		1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27			3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 16 (N)

To what extent has failure to enforce Progression Order deadlines produced ineffective case management by district judges?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	1	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	6			1	
41 - 60 Cases	2				
More Than 60 Cases	6				2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	3		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	2	8	17	28
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63		1	2	5
21 - 40 Cases	1			1	
41 - 60 Cases	1				1
More Than 60 Cases	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Cause	Moderate Cause	Slight Cause	Not a Cause
Cases Left Blank	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	1	1	4
21 - 40 Cases	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				

Question 19 (A)

Number of status conferences by district judges.

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 40 Cases	2			2		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 20 Cases	37		1	21	10	2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	1	45	16	5
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	4		1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	5		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	3		1	2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	1	3	120	29	12
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		8	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4			2		2
More Than 60 Cases	3			3		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63		5	45	10	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	27		1	20	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					

Question 19 (B)

Deadlines by district judges.

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Far too Restrictive	Somewhat too Restrictive	Resonable Number	Somewhat Permissive	Far Permissive
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Restrictive	Somewhat too Restrictive	Resonable Number	Somewhat Permissive	Far Permissive
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	11	20		3
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Restrictive	Somewhat too Restrictive	Resonable Number	Somewhat Permissive	Far Permissive
0 - 20 Cases	75	1	23	36	7	4
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	5		
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6		2	3	1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Restrictive	Somewhat too Restrictive	Resonable Number	Somewhat Permissive	Far Permissive
Cases Left Blank	3		1	2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	7	42	100	16	1
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1	8		
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	3		
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Restrictive	Somewhat too Restrictive	Resonable Number	Somewhat Permissive	Far Permissive
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	22	35	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Restrictive	Somewhat too Restrictive	Resonable Number	Somewhat Permissive	Far Permissive
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	12	10		2
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					

Question 19 (C)

Pretrial conferences by district judges.

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 40 Cases	2			2		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 20 Cases	37		2	28	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 20 Cases	75		3	61	6	1
21 - 40 Cases	6			4	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	5		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	3			3		
0 - 20 Cases	176	3	6	141	14	3
21 - 40 Cases	11	1		10		
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	2	
More Than 60 Cases	3			3		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	4	50	6	
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1		22		
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					

Question 19 (D)

Extension of deadlines by district judges.

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	7	24	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	13	46	7	
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	4	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6		2	3	1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	3		1	2		
0 - 20 Cases	176	10	19	121	14	
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	7	3	
41 - 60 Cases	4			3		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	2		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63	2	6	44	9	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Far too Many	Somewhat too Many	Resonable Number	Somewhat too Few	Far too Few
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	2	17	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					

Question 20

What effect would requiring shorter time limits for completing the various stages of litigation have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1				

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	13	8	2	9
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	17	12	7	28
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		2	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			1
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2		1	2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	15	43	28	28	57
21 - 40 Cases	11			5	2	4
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3	2	1			

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	6	10	14	10	20
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	4	4	2	10
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1					1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 21

What effect would requiring counsel to attempt to resolve issues before court intervention have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	7	15	12	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	22	24	12	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	3	1	1	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2		2			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	2	3	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	26	66	47	29	5
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1	7	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			2	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3	2	1			

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	18	18	9	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	10	7	3	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 22

What effect would permitting conferences or hearings with the court on any motion at the request of any party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	9	11	9	4
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	10	20	23	10
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		2	3	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1		3	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	29	41	47	38	14
21 - 40 Cases	11		3	4	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	4			3		1
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	11	10	16	10
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7	12	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 23 (A)

What effect would requiring conferences or hearings with the court for dispositive motions have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	12	15	7	2	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	19	19	16	1
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1		3	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		2	2	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	42	63	32	24	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	4	3		
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1		1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	2		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	15	13	16	10	6
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	16	5	3	2	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 23 (B)

What effect would requiring conferences or hearings with the court for discovery motions have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	15	10	10	1
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	17	21	22	3
21 - 40 Cases	6	2			4	
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6	1		1	4	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	44	48	49	6
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	2	3	4	
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	7	20	8	17	5
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	6	10	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 23 (C)

What effect would requiring conferences or hearings with the court for motions other than discovery or dispositive have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	11	9	9	3
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	6	6	28	19	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1		1	3	
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	4	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3				2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	11	31	61	45	8
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1	4	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	1	1	1
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	7	10	13	19	6
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	5	12	4	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

What effect would permitting the filing of procedural, non-dispositive motions (for example, motions to amend and motions to add parties) by letter rather than formal motion and brief have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	12	13	6	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	21	21	18	10	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	2	2		
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		2		4	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	51	54	27	27	12
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	5	1	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4			1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		2	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	14	21	9	10	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	11	7	5	2	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

What effect would providing a 30-page limitation for memoranda of law, except for good cause shown, have on civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	16	4	4	3
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	20	24	19	7	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	2	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			1
More Than 60 Cases	6			2	3	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	39	60	44	19	9
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	4	2	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	2		
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	14	18	15	8	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	8	3	7	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 26 (A)

What effect would requiring mandatory non-binding arbitration of all disputes in which the amount in controversy is less than \$100,000 have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	8	4	2	13
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	16	13	9	10	24
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1		1	
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	2		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	42	37	25	20	46
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	1	2	4
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	3					3

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	11	8	4	20
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	4	3	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring mandatory non-binding arbitration of all disputes in which the amount in controversy is less than \$200,000 have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	10	5	3	12
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	14	8	10	26
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1		1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	25	29	25	22	50
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	1	2	5
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3					3

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	5	7	6	18
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	1	4	4	5	10
21 - 40 Cases	1					
41 - 60 Cases	1					
More Than 60 Cases	1					

What effect would requiring mandatory non-binding arbitration of all disputes in which the amount in controversy is less than \$1,000,000 have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	7	8	4	12
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	11	10	8	13	28
21 - 40 Cases	6		2		1	3
41 - 60 Cases	2		1			
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	18	21	26	29	55
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	1		3	5
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3					3

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	2	7	7	20
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	2	5	5	11
21 - 40 Cases	1					
41 - 60 Cases	1					
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would providing court-sponsored mediation for some or all issues in dispute have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	14	9	2	6
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	31	15	8	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	2	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2		2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1		1	1	3

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	2			1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	46	55	38	17	17
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	5	2		3
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2			1
More Than 60 Cases	3	2		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	18	17	9	4	10
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	6	6	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

What effect would making available attorneys who are experts in the subject matters in dispute to evaluate claims and defenses and to assist parties in settlement negotiations ("early neutral evaluation") have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1			1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	11	7	3	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	16	20	21	7	11
21 - 40 Cases	6		2	3	1	
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1			3	2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1			1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	43	52	34	22	20
21 - 40 Cases	11		5	4	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2			1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2			

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	11	18	9	5	14
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	4	4	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

What effect would requiring attendance of parties and/or their insurers at court settlement conferences have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1		1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	12	14	5	3	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	29	23	11	6	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	3	1	1		1
41 - 60 Cases	2		2			
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2		2	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1	1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	55	55	33	19	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	4	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	2	2			
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	18	18	8	6	8
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	7	5	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

What effect would requiring Rule 11 sanctions motions to be separately filed and not appended to another motion have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				2	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	2	8	14	13	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	2	21	18	26	3
21 - 40 Cases	6	2		2	2	
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1		3	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3				3	
0 - 20 Cases	176	14	47	49	53	2
21 - 40 Cases	11		2	7	2	
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3			2	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	10	11	17	16	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	8	7	5	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

What effect would increasing availability of telephone conferences or hearings with the court have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	10	19	4	1	2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	25	29	14	5	
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	3		1	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	3	1	1		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	63	73	28	7	1
21 - 40 Cases	11	4	2	4	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		3	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3	2		1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	26	18	8	5	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	13	7	3	1	1
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 32 (A)

What effect would requiring automatic disclosure of the identity of witnesses reasonably likely to have information which bears significantly upon claims, defenses or damages have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	14	16	5		2
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	25	15	7	5
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1	2	1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	51	61	31	15	15
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	6	2		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1			3
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	20	14	13	7	5
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	7	1	2	7
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 32 (B)

What effect would requiring automatic disclosure of the general description of documents relied upon in preparing pleadings or contemplated to be used in support of the parties' allegations or calculations of damages have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1	1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	14	14	6	3	
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	20	24	14	7	7
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	50	63	25	16	17
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	5	2		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	19	16	13	6	5
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	27	7	7	2	3	7
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 32 (C)

What effect would requiring automatic disclosure of the existence and contents of insurance agreements have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				2	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	13	10	7	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	17	21	17	13	4
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	1	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	3		1		

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3			1	2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	47	49	35	26	10
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	3	6	1	
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	3		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	16	16	10	11	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	7	5	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 33

What effect would conditioning grants by the court of broader discovery upon the shifting of costs in instances where the burden of responding to such requests appears to be out of proportion to the amounts or issues in dispute have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	18	7	2	4
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	15	30	9	10	11
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	3	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2	1	1			
More Than 60 Cases	6	2	1		1	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	41	69	25	10	26
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	7	3		
41 - 60 Cases	4		3			1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	63	18	17	10	3	12
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	8	8	4	3	4
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 34

What effect would defining the scope of permissible discovery by balancing the burden or expenses of the discovery against its likely benefit have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its cost?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1			1	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	13	6	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	9	25	10	9	20
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2			2		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2		1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1	1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	28	54	40	8	42
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	6	3		1
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	2	1	
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	2			

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	13	22	5	6	14
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	10	4	1	6
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 35

What effect would assessing the costs of discovery motions on the losing party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	9	9	8		11
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	14	23	15	4	18
21 - 40 Cases	6			3	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	2	1	1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	2		1		
0 - 20 Cases	176	31	46	33	10	53
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	4	1		3
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1	2
More Than 60 Cases	3	1	1		1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	5	12	12	6	24
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	6	3	4	2	12
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 36

What effect would assessing the costs of motions to dismiss on the losing party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				1	1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	7	10		17
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	12	15	10	28
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2		1	1		
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	2	1	2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	26	28	33	14	71
21 - 40 Cases	11	3	2	1		5
41 - 60 Cases	4			1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3		1		1	1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	6	9	9	31
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	4	2	3	14
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 37

What effect would assessing the costs of motions for summary judgment on the losing party have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2				1	1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	6	8	1	19
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	9	11	11	36
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	1	1	3

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1		1	1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	22	29	35	12	74
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	1	1		7
41 - 60 Cases	4			1		3
More Than 60 Cases	3		1			2

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1				1	
0 - 20 Cases	63	4	3	11	8	32
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	3	2	4	15
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 38

What effect would providing less time for completion of discovery have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1				1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	11	9	2	11
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	7	9	19	7	31
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6		1		3	2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	10	33	42	26	62
21 - 40 Cases	11		1	6	1	3
41 - 60 Cases	4		2			2
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	3	9	9	7	29
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	2	8	3	3	10
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 39

What effect would requiring discovery relating to particular issues (e.g., venue, class certification) or a specified stage of the case (e.g., liability) to be completed before permitting discovery respecting other issues or another stage (e.g., damages, experts) have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1		1		

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	5	13	8	4	7
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	12	24	16	13	10
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	3		2	
41 - 60 Cases	2	1		1		
More Than 60 Cases	6	1	1		1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		2		1	
0 - 20 Cases	176	23	66	28	32	21
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3	3	3	
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	1	1		1
More Than 60 Cases	3		2	1		

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	63	12	13	12	11	10
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	9	4	5	6
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1					1
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 40

What effect would limiting the number of interrogatories permitted have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	6	11	13	4	3
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	10	20	24	12	7
21 - 40 Cases	6	2	1	2		1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1		1
More Than 60 Cases	6			2	2	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3		1		2	
0 - 20 Cases	176	21	63	54	19	15
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3	4	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2			1
More Than 60 Cases	3		2		1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	18	18	8	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	8	6	4	4
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 41

What effect would limiting the type of interrogatories (e.g., identification, contention) permitted at various stages of discovery have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2	1	1			

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	4	11	9	4	9
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	8	17	19	17	12
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6			2	2	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3				2	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	21	52	52	24	22
21 - 40 Cases	11		4	4	2	1
41 - 60 Cases	4	1	2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	9	14	17	11	8
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	5	5	6	4	6
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 42

What effect would limiting the number of depositions permitted have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2		1	1	-	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	3	6	5	1	22
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	5	15	15	6	32
21 - 40 Cases	6	1	1	2	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	2	2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1			1
0 - 20 Cases	176	24	33	31	15	69
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	4		1	5
41 - 60 Cases	4		1	2		1
More Than 60 Cases	3	1		1		1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	6	14	10	6	23
21 - 40 Cases	1					1
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	4	9	3	3	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1	1				

Question 43

What effect would limiting the length of depositions permitted have on expediting civil litigation or reducing its costs?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 40 Cases	2			1		1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	37	1	4	5	1	26
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
0 - 20 Cases	75	4	15	9	8	37
21 - 40 Cases	6			4	1	1
41 - 60 Cases	2				2	
More Than 60 Cases	6			1	2	2

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	3	1	1			1
0 - 20 Cases	176	20	28	33	21	69
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3		1	5
41 - 60 Cases	4		2		1	
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1		1

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	63	8	11	5	7	28
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1					1

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantial Effect	Moderate Effect	Slight Effect	No Effect At All	Might Expedite Trial or Reduce Cost but Still is a Bad Idea
Cases Left Blank	1	1				
0 - 20 Cases	27	3	7	3	1	11
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1		1			
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

Question 47

During the past three years, the cost and time it takes to litigate civil actions has:

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	Substantiall Improved	Moderaltely Improved	Remained Unchanged	Moderately Worsened	Substantiall Worsened
0 - 40 Cases	2			1		1

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantiall Improved	Moderaltely Improved	Remained Unchanged	Moderately Worsened	Substantiall Worsened
0 - 20 Cases	37		3	16	11	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantiall Improved	Moderaltely Improved	Remained Unchanged	Moderately Worsened	Substantiall Worsened
0 - 20 Cases	75		6	27	27	5
21 - 40 Cases	6			2	3	1
41 - 60 Cases	2			1	1	
More Than 60 Cases	6		1	2	2	

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantiall Improved	Moderaltely Improved	Remained Unchanged	Moderately Worsened	Substantiall Worsened
Cases Left Blank	3			1	1	1
0 - 20 Cases	176	5	10	71	59	20
21 - 40 Cases	11	1	2	2	3	2
41 - 60 Cases	4			1	1	2
More Than 60 Cases	3			1	2	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantiall Improved	Moderaltely Improved	Remained Unchanged	Moderately Worsened	Substantiall Worsened
Cases Left Blank	1			1		
0 - 20 Cases	63	1	7	23	19	8
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1				1	
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	Substantiall Improved	Moderaltely Improved	Remained Unchanged	Moderately Worsened	Substantiall Worsened
Cases Left Blank	1					1
0 - 20 Cases	27		2	11	7	2
21 - 40 Cases	1				1	
41 - 60 Cases	1			1		
More Than 60 Cases	1		1			

Question 48

Since January 1, 1989, how many months (on average) has it taken from the time your civil cases in federal courts of Nebraska were ready for trial to the time that trial actually commenced?

Years Left Blank	Surveys Received	N/A	1 - 6 Months	7 - 12 Months	13 - 20 Months	More Than 21 Months
0 - 40 Cases	2				2	

0 - 5 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	N/A	1 - 6 Months	7 - 12 Months	13 - 20 Months	More Than 21 Months
0 - 20 Cases	37	16	5	3	6	4
21 - 40 Cases	1		1			

6 - 10 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	N/A	1 - 6 Months	7 - 12 Months	13 - 20 Months	More Than 21 Months
0 - 20 Cases	75	22	8	15	11	12
21 - 40 Cases	6		1	1	2	2
41 - 60 Cases	2				1	1
More Than 60 Cases	6	2		1	1	1

11 - 20 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	N/A	1 - 6 Months	7 - 12 Months	13 - 20 Months	More Than 21 Months
Cases Left Blank	3	1				2
0 - 20 Cases	176	58	19	43	25	24
21 - 40 Cases	11	2	3		2	3
41 - 60 Cases	4		2	1		
More Than 60 Cases	3		1	1	1	

21 - 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	N/A	1 - 6 Months	7 - 12 Months	13 - 20 Months	More Than 21 Months
Cases Left Blank	1					
0 - 20 Cases	63	15	12	11	15	8
21 - 40 Cases	1	1				
41 - 60 Cases	1					1
More Than 60 Cases	1				1	

More Than 30 Years in Practice	Surveys Received	N/A	1 - 6 Months	7 - 12 Months	13 - 20 Months	More Than 21 Months
Cases Left Blank	1		1			
0 - 20 Cases	27	9	4	4	6	1
21 - 40 Cases	1			1		
41 - 60 Cases	1	1				
More Than 60 Cases	1			1		