CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM ACT ADVISORY GROUP FOR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Chairman

Robert M. Landis 4000 Bell Atlantic Tower 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 994-2765 FAX 994-2222

Members

Alice W. Ballard
Michael M. Baylson
Michael Churchill
James C. Corcoran
Andre L. Dennis
Eve B. Klothen
Seymour Kurland
S. Gerald Litvin
Edward W. Mullinix
Arthur G. Raynes
Richard M. Rosenbleeth
Daniel J. Ryan
John O. J. Shellenberger
J. Clayton Undercoffler, Ill

Ex-Officio Members

Honorable Louis C. Bechtle Honorable Edward N. Cahn Honorable Robert F. Kelly Honorable James R. Melinson Michael E. Kunz, Clerk of Court

Reporter

A. Leo Levin 3400 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 (215) 898-7496

Assistant to Chairman

Jennifer Clarke 4000 Bell Atlantic Tower 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 994-2105 November, 1994

Dear Counselor:

This questionnaire is being sent to you to help us learn more about the way the self-executing disclosure rules are working in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This questionnaire is designed so that it can be completed in a very few minutes. You are asked to check a number of boxes, with room to add your own comments if you choose.

This survey has been undertaken to meet an obligation imposed upon us and upon the court by the Congress in the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990. Your participation is important in making possible the discharge of that obligation.

All responses will be kept in complete confidence, except that the data will be cumulated and the results published.

We want very much to have the benefit of your help.

Sincerely yours,

Robert M. Landis

Popers. Jandis

Chairman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHAMBERS OF EDWARD N. CAHN CHIEF JUDGE 501 HAMILTON STREET
ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 18101

PHILADELPHIA: 17614 U.S. COURTHOUSE PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106

November, 1994

Dear Counselor:

On behalf of the judges of this Court, I would like to ask for your assistance in learning more about the way the self-executing discovery rules are working in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for the purpose of helping our Civil Justice Reform Act Advisory Group prepare its report.

In order to do this, I am requesting that you take a few minutes from your busy schedule to complete the questionnaire which is enclosed with this letter. Returning a completed questionnaire will help the court meet its obligation under the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990. All responses will be kept in complete confidence. The data that is received will be cumulated and the results published.

In the event that you have any questions related to the completion of this questionnaire, please contact either Professor A. Leo Levin, Reporter of the Civil Justice Reform Act Advisory Group, at 215-898-7496, or Robert M. Landis, Chairman of the Advisory Group, at 215-994-2765.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

Edward N. Cahn

Chief Judge

Questionnaire on the Self-Executing Disclosure Rule

I. As a reminder, the rule for self-executing disclosure is as follows.

The term "self-executing disclosure" as used in this questionnaire refers to the obligation imposed by <u>Section 4.01</u> of the Plan promulgated by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania under the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990.

That section imposes the obligation on each party to turn over to all other parties, without any formal request having been made, the following: (1) the name and last known address of each person likely to have information relevant to the claims and defenses in the lawsuit; (2) a description of all relevant documents, data compilations and tangible things; and (3) insurance policies that may satisfy any resultant judgment, including making the documents available for inspection and copying.

The provisions of this section do not apply to any cases assigned to the Special Management Track (special procedures govern those cases). For that reason, this questionnaire does not cover what is generally known as "complex litigation."

The terminology has been anything but uniform. What we have termed "self-executing disclosure" is sometimes referred to simply as "disclosure" or "voluntary exchange of information among litigants and their attorneys." It is to be distinguished from "discovery," the process of using interrogatories, depositions, requests for admission, and other formal mechanisms for gaining information from one's adversary.

In thinking about your last case that was concluded at the district court level and to which the promulgated rule governing self-executing disclosure applied:	
1. Were you on the side of a defendant?	(9)
1 Yes 2 No	
2. In which of the following categories was this case (check one)?	(10)
1 Contract-Insurance 6 Other civil rights 2 Other contract 7 Labor 3 Personal injury 8 Social Security 4 Tort-Personal property 9 Other 5 Prisoner petitions	
3. When was the case terminated?	(11)
 Before self-executing disclosure After self-executing disclosure but before full discovery After full discovery but prior to trial During the trial After the trial concluded 	

		Use Only
4.	How was the case terminated?	(12)
	 By settlement or other voluntary dismissal By verdict or judicial action 	
5.	How would you characterize your level of compliance with the self-executing disclosure rule?	(13)
	1 Fully 2 Partially 3 Minimally 4 Not at all	
6.	Whether or not you complied with the rule on self-executing disclosure, indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements: Substantial compliance by you did/would have	
	Strongly Mildly Mildly Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Agree	
	• decrease(d) the time spent on the case. 1 2 3 4 5	(14)
	 decrease(d) the cost of litigation to your client. 1 2 3 4 5 	(15)
	 improve(d) your ability to represent your client. 1 2 3 4 5 	(16)
7.	How would you characterize your opponent's level of compliance with the self-executing disclosure rule?	
	1 Fully 2 Partially 3 Minimally 4 Not at all	(17)
8.	Whether or not your opponent complied with the rule on self-executing disclosure, indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements: Substantial compliance by your opponent did/would have	
	Strongly Mildly Mildly Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Agree	ž
	• decrease(d) the time spent on the case. 1 2 3 4 5	(18)
	 decrease(d) the cost of litigation to your client. 1 2 3 4 5 	(19)
	 improve(d) your ability to represent your client. 1 2 3 4 5 	(20)

		For Office Use Only
9.	Whether or not full self-executing disclosure occurred in this case, if the rule had been followed by both sides, compared to no self-executing disclosure by either side, the outcome of this case would likely have been:	(21)
	 Greatly different Somewhat different The same 	
II.	Now think about the self-executing disclosure rule more generally:	
1.	In how many of your cases that were instituted since the beginning of 1992 did this rule apply?	(22)
	0-5 6-10 11-19 More than 20	
2.	Of these cases, in what percentage was the self-executing disclosure rule followed to any extent by any party?	(23)
	1 Less than 10% 2 10% up to 30% 3 30% up to 70% 4 70% up to 90% 5 More than 90%	
3.	At the time it became relevant in these cases, what was your knowledge about the self-executing disclosure rule?	(24)
	 Was unaware of the rule Had general knowledge of the existence of the rule Had working knowledge of the rule Knowledge of the rule varied from case to case 	
4.	Which of the following reasons for not following the self-executing disclosure rule would generally apply to you (please check yes or no).	
	 Would hurt my case Did not believe my opponent would comply Did not believe the judge would enforce it Did not know the rule 1Yes 2No Yes 2No Yes 2No Yes 2No Yes 2No Yes 2No 	(25) (26) (27) (28)
5.	(a) In any case in which you participated, was there a motion for sanctions relating to self-executing disclosure?	(29)
	1 Yes 2 No	
	(b) Was any such motion granted?	(30)
	1 Yes	185

		For Office Use Only
	(c) In general, what is your perception of the judges' attitude to the self-executing disclosure rule?	(31)
	1 Favorable 2 Neutral 3 Hostile 4 Court seemed unaware of rule 5 Varies	
6.	In applying the self-executing disclosure rule, have you faced any issue of attorney- client privilege or of work product protection?	(32)
	1 Yes, but easy to resolve 2 Yes, but difficult to resolve 3 No	
7.	Assuming some kind of self-executing disclosure will remain, what are your feelings about the following?	
	Strongly Mildly Mildly Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Agree	
	 Permit a party to institute discovery without awaiting any developments with respect to self-executing disclosure 1 2 3 4 5 	(33)
	 Permit a party to institute discovery once the party has made its self-executing disclosures without necessarily waiting for the opposing party's self-executing disclosure. 1 2 3 4 5 	(34)
	Define more specifically to what the obligation of disclosure applies. 1 2 3 4 5	(35)
	• Expand to what the obligation of disclosure applies. 1 2 3 4 5	(36)
8.	What is your opinion of the current self-executing disclosure rule?	(37)
	1 Strongly in favor 2 Mildly in favor 3 Indifferent 4 Mildly against 5 Strongly against	
9.	Do you think a self-executing disclosure rule should remain in effect?	(38)
	1 Yes 2 No	
10.	Has the obligation to disclose under the self-executing disclosure rule caused any problem with your clients?	(39)
	1 Yes	

		For Office Use Only
11.	Please indicate any general comments you might have about the self-executing disclosure rule:	(40)
		* *
		(41)
		(42)
		(43)
III.	At this point we would like to obtain a little background information about you. Please be assured that this information is for survey purposes only, and all such data will be amalgamated across respondents to ensure confidentiality.	, ,
1.	In what percentage of your cases that were instituted since the beginning of 1992 were you	
	on the side of a plaintiff?	(44,45,46)
	on the side of a defendant?	(47,48,49)
	2. Of the cases you handled in which suit was filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania since the beginning of 1992, what were the percentages (to add up to 100%) in the following categories: Contract-Insurance	
	Other contract	(50,51,52)
	Personal injury	(53,54,55)
	Tort-Personal property	(56,57,58) (59,60,61)
	Prisoner petitions	(62,63,64)
	Other civil rights	(65,66,67)
	Labor	(68,69,70)
	Social Security	(71,72,73)
	Other	(74,75,76)
	Total (must equal 100%)	
3,.	In which category does your age fall?	(77)
	1 Under 30 years	
4.	What is your gender? 1 Female 2 Male	(78)
5.	How many years have you been in practice?	(79)
	1 Less than 5 years 2 5-9 years 3 10-14 years 4 15-19 years 5 20-29 years 6 30 years or over	
6.	What is the number of lawyers in the firm in which you practice (at all offices)?	(80)
-	Fewer than 5 5-9 10-29 30-49 50-99 100 or more	