

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

NUMBER OF PAGES: 3  
(including coversheet)

DATE: 4/27

TIME: 5 pm

TO:

NAME <i>Mr. Mechem</i>	RECIPIENT'S PHONE NUMBER
ORGANIZATION	FACSIMILE MACHINE PHONE NUMBER

FROM:

NAME <i>Maisha Bishop</i>	SENDER'S PHONE NUMBER (617) 223-9014
------------------------------	---

Judge Stephen Breyer  
U.S. Court of Appeals, First Circuit  
1617 John W. McCormack Post Office and  
Courthouse  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Facsimile Machine  
Transmittal Number  
617-223-4349

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

---

To : Ralph Mecham  
Director, Administrative Office

From : <sup>83</sup> Stephen Breyer  
Chief Judge, First Circuit

Date : April 24, 1990

Subject : Biden Bill  
Executive Committee Proposal

In reading the proposal, one minor aspect struck me as administratively anomalous. The proposal would seek to coordinate plans within a circuit (and promote discussion among district courts within a circuit) by creating a special committee of chief judges of the circuit's district courts, apparently operating entirely on its own. It might be better to have this exact same committee appointed by, or convened by, the Circuit Council, thereby making clear that the circuit executive, and related administrative resources, will be available to that committee, and avoiding the creation of a new circuit administrative body that is operating on a different track. I do not mean to suggest that appellate court judges should get involved in the details of the plan; I agree that the coordinating committee, even if convened by the circuit, should consist of chief judges of the districts, but I think it would be better to follow the traditional administrative forms that are in place.

Judge Oakes and I have discussed this matter and he agrees.



THE END