IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF		
UNITE	ED STATES OF AMERICA)	
	Plaintiff)	
v.) INDICTMENT NO))	
****) SPECIAL FINDINGS '****, et al.))	
	Defendants)	
Part	I. FINDINGS ON INTENT	
(A)	We the jury unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, **** *****, intentionally killed the victim.	
	Foreman	
	OR	
(B)		
	Foreman	
	OR	
(C)	We the jury unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant the defendant intentionally participated in an act, contemplating that the life of a person would be taken or intending that lethal force would be used in connection with a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, and the victim died as a direct result of the act other than one of the participants in the offense, and the victim died as a direct result of the act;	

Foreman

(D)	We the jury unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant ***** ***** intentionally and specifically engaged in an act of violence, knowing that the act created a grave risk of death to a person, other than one of the participants in the offense, such that participation in the act constituted a reckless disregard for human life and the victim died as a direct result of the act.
	Foreman
	OR
(E)	We the jury DO NOT unanimously find beyond a reasonable doubt any of A, B, C or D above.
	Foreman
deci far a	you do not unanimously so find, sign finding E and report the sion to the Court and deliberate no more as to this victim as as ***** **** is concerned.) II. Statutory Aggravating Factors [One form for each homicide]
	(to be proved by the government beyond a reasonable doubt)
	(1) **** **** committed the offense after substantial
planı	ning and premeditation.
	Proved to the jury's unanimous satisfaction Unable to reach unanimous agreement

[Here list any additional alleged statutory aggravating factors.]

(You may only continue with your deliberations if you have found at least one listed aggravating circumstance proved to the jury's unanimous satisfaction and beyond a reasonable doubt with respect to the intentional murder of this victim(s). If you do not find the aggravating factor or factors to have been proven, report this to the Court and your deliberations are concluded.)

е

Part III. Non-statutory Aggravating Factor
(to be proved by the government beyond a reasonable

	(to be proved by the government beyond a reasonable
	[Here list each alleged nonstatutory aggravating factor]
	Proved to the jury's unanimous satisfaction
	Unable to reach unanimous agreement
aggra	ardless of the finding you have made as to this non-statutory avating factor, proceed to the next part inquiring as to gating factors.)
Part	IV. <u>Mitigating Factors</u> (to be proved by the defense by a preponderance of the
	(1) **** ****'s capacity to appreciate the wrongful
	ness of his conduct or to conform his conduct to the
	requirements of law was impaired, regardless of whether
	his capacity was so impaired as to constitute a defense
	to the charge.
	Number of jurors who so find
	(2) **** **** was under duress, regardless of whether
	the duress was of such a degree as to constitute a
	defense to the charge.
	Number of jurors who so find
	(3) **** **** does not have a significant prior
	criminal record.
	Number of jurors who so find
	(4) **** **** committed the killing or killings under

mental and/or emotional disturbance.

	Number of jurors who so find
(5)	Another person, equally culpable in the crime(s),
will	not be punished by death.
	Number of jurors who so find
(6)	**** **** will be sentenced to life in prison without
any p	possibility of release if he is not executed.
	Number of jurors who so find
	**** **** was subjected to emotional and physical
abuse	e, abandonment and neglect as a child, and was deprived
of th	ne parental guidance and protection which he needed.
	Number of jurors who so find
(8)	**** **** suffers from neurological impairments
	which were identified and which could have been
	treated when he was a child and adolescent.
	Number of jurors who so find
(9)	**** **** suffers from brain dysfunction which has
grave	ely impaired his ability to function in the absence of
stro	ng support and guidance.
	Number of jurors who so find

(10) **** **** was introduced to addictive drugs and			
alcohol while still a child, and was supported and reintro			
duced into drug selling by his own father.			
Number of jurors who so find			
(11) ***** **** has invariably responded well to			
structured environments, and would likely make an			
excellent adaptation to prison if he were sentenced to			
life imprisonment.			
Number of jurors who so find			
(12) **** **** grew up in an impoverished, violent and			
brutal environment, and was exposed to extreme violence			
as a child and throughout his life.			
Number of jurors who so find			
(13) That other factors in ***** ****'s childhood, back			
ground or character mitigate against imposition of the death			
sentence.			
Number of jurors who so find			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff v. ***** *****, et al. Defendants))))) INDICTMENT NO. *******) DECISION FORM A))	
We, the jury, as to ****	** *****, do not unanimously find	
proved beyond a reasonable do	oubt the existence of a statutory	
aggravating circumstance as t	o the killing of any of the victims	
and, therefore, do not consid	ler the death penalty in this case.	
	FOREPERSON	
	DATE	

	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff))
v.) INDICTMENT NO
**** ****, et al.	DECISION FORM B
Defendants))
We, the jury, as to ****	*****, unanimously find beyond a
reasonable doubt that the aggra-	vating factor[s] proved in this
case outweighs any mitigating fa	actors, and is itself so serious
that justice mandates a sentence	e of death. We vote unanimously
that **** **** shall be senter	
February	FOREPERSON 7, 1993

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE	DISTRICT OF
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff v.	INDICTMENT NO DECISION FORM C
**** ****, et al.	
Defendants)	
	*****, do not unanimously find that in this case so outweighs the
mitigating factors that justice	mandates a sentence of death. We,
therefore, return a decision th	at **** **** not be sentenced to
death.	
-	FOREPERSON

DATE:

IN THE UNITED STATE IN THE UNITED STATE	TES DISTRICT COURT
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Plaintiff)	
, ––	NDICTMENT NO ECISION FORM D
***** *****, et al.) Defendants)	
We, the jury, as to **** *	****, having considered and
evaluated the evidence presented in	light of the instructions of
the Court, are not unanimously pers	suaded that a death sentence
should be imposed in this case. Howe	ever, we do unanimously agree
that **** **** should be sentenced	to life imprisonment without
possibility of release. Therefore,	we hereby decide that ****
**** should be sentenced to life imp	prisonment without possibility
of release.	
FOREPER	RSON

Date: _____

IN THE UN FOR THE	NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
Plaintiff)
v.)) INDICTMENT NO) DECISION FORM E
**** *****, et al.)))
Defendants)	

We, the jury, as to ***** *****, having considered and evaluated the evidence presented in light of the instructions of the Court, are not unanimously persuaded that either a death sentence or a sentence of life imprisonment without possibility of release should be imposed in this case. Therefore, we hereby unanimously decide that ***** ***** should be be sentenced to a sentence of less than life imprisonment without possibility of release, to be set by the Court.

	FOREPERSON
Date:	

CERTIFICATE

By signing below, each of us individually hereby certifies that consideration of the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of ***** ***** and of the victims, were not involved in reaching our respective individual decisions. Each of us individually further certifies that the same decision regarding a sentence would have been made no matter what the race, color, religious beliefs, national origin, or sex of the defendant or victims may have been.

<u> </u>	·
<u> </u>	·
	FOREDERSON

Date: _____