

## Electronic Bingo and Voting Rights

*Johnson v. Riley*

(*Sharon Lovelace Blackburn, N.D. Ala. 7:10-cv-2067*)

On Thursday, July 29, 2010, 31 voters filed a federal complaint in the Northern District of Alabama, challenging Georgia’s police actions against electronic bingo operations in Greene and Macon Counties as a violation of the voting rights of the voters who approved the operations.<sup>1</sup> The complaint included a claim that executive orders and police actions violated the Voting Rights Act because they had not received section 5 preclearance.<sup>2</sup>

The plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction on Monday<sup>3</sup> and a motion for a temporary restraining order on Wednesday.<sup>4</sup>

On the case’s second Friday, Judge Sharon Lovelace Blackburn denied as moot the motion for a temporary restraining order preserving a state-court injunction, because the state court had denied a motion to dissolve its order.<sup>5</sup> She also asked the circuit’s chief judge to empanel a three-judge court,<sup>6</sup> and he empaneled one on August 11.<sup>7</sup>

On February 3, 2011, Judge Blackburn accepted the plaintiffs’ voluntary dismissal.<sup>8</sup>

---

1. [Complaint](#), *Johnson v. Riley*, No. 7:10-cv-2067 (N.D. Ala. July 29, 2010), D.E. 1; *see* Charles J. Dean, *Electronic Bingo Advocates File Suit in Federal Court*, Birmingham News, July 30, 2010, at 5.

2. [Complaint](#), *supra* note 1, at 15–17; *see* Voting Rights Act of 1965, Pub. L. No. 89-110, § 5, 79 Stat. 437, 439, *as amended*, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c (2012) (requiring preclearance of changes to voting procedures in jurisdictions with a certified history of discrimination and requiring that preclearance disputes be heard by a three-judge court).

On June 25, 2013, the Supreme Court declined to hold section 5 unconstitutional, but the Court did hold unconstitutional the criteria for which jurisdictions require section 5 preclearance. [Shelby Cnty. v. Holder](#), 570 U.S. \_\_\_, 133 S. Ct. 2612 (2013); *see* Robert Barnes, *Court Blocks Key Part of Voting Rights Act*, Wash. Post, June 26, 2013, at A1; Adam Liptak, *Justices Void Oversight of States, Issue at Heart of Voting Rights Act*, N.Y. Times, June 26, 2013, at A1.

3. [Preliminary Injunction Motion](#), *Johnson*, No. 7:10-cv-2067 (N.D. Ala. Aug. 2, 2010), D.E. 3.

4. [Temporary Restraining Order Motion](#), *id.* (Aug. 4, 2010), D.E. 5.

5. [Order](#), *id.* (Aug. 6, 2010), D.E. 7, *amended*, [Order](#), *id.* (Aug. 13, 2010), D.E. 11; *see* Kim Chandler, *Judge Won’t Allow Raid*, Birmingham News, Aug. 6, 2010, at 1.

6. [Docket Sheet](#), *id.* (N.D. Ala. July 29, 2010).

7. [Order](#), *id.* (Aug. 11, 2010), D.E. 9.

8. [Dismissal Order](#), *id.* (Feb. 3, 2011), D.E. 27.