## **Order of Names on the Ballot**

Crim v. Tennessee Democratic Party (Kevin H. Sharp, M.D. Tenn. 3:12-cv-838)

A losing primary candidate filed a federal complaint alleging that the victor was improperly included on the ballot and improperly positioned on the ballot because his name was listed alphabetically first. On the next day, after a hearing, the district judge denied the plaintiff immediate relief, finding no wrongdoing and also observing that the plaintiff could have challenged the ballot before the election.

*Subject*: Voting procedures. *Topics*: Enjoining certification; getting on the ballot; laches; equal protection; intervention.

Thirteen days after the August 2, 2012, Democratic primary for United States Senate in Tennessee, losing candidate Larry Crim filed a federal complaint against the Democratic Party and the state division of elections alleging that winning candidate Mark Clayton was improperly placed on the ballot and he received a disproportionate number of votes because his name was listed alphabetically first. The complaint sought a temporary restraining order and an emergency hearing. News media reported that Clayton's victory in the primary election was an embarrassment to the party.

Judge Kevin H. Sharp held a hearing on August 16, the day after the complaint was filed.<sup>4</sup> He granted Clayton's motion to intervene.<sup>5</sup> Judge Sharp also denied Crim immediate relief.<sup>6</sup> Crim demonstrated no wrongdoing, and he could have challenged the ballot before the election.<sup>7</sup>

On August 30, Judge Sharp granted Crim a voluntary dismissal.8

3. See Richard Locker, Tenn. Democrats Disavow Winner of Senate Primary, Memphis Commercial Appeal, Aug. 4, 2012, at 1.

<sup>1.</sup> Complaint, Crim v. Tenn. Democratic Party, No. 3:12-cv-838 (M.D. Tenn. Aug. 15, 2012), D.E. 1.

<sup>2.</sup> *Id.* at 17.

<sup>4.</sup> Docket Sheet, *Crim*, No. 3:12-cv-838 (M.D. Tenn. Aug. 15, 2012); *see* Transcript Excerpt, *id.* (Aug. 16, 2012, filed Sept. 4, 2012), D.E. 19 (argument by the party's lawyer).

<sup>5.</sup> Order, id. (Aug. 16, 2012), D.E. 8; see Intervention Motion, id. (Aug. 16, 2012), D.E. 6.

<sup>6.</sup> Order, *id.* (Aug. 16, 2012), D.E. 9; *see* Tom Humphrey, *Judge Leaves U.S. Senate Candidate Clayton on Ballot*, Knoxville News-Sentinel, Aug. 17, 2012.

<sup>7.</sup> See Mark Clayton Victory in Democratic Primary Upheld by Nashville Judge, Nashville Tennessean, Aug. 16, 2012 (describing the hearing as "pointed and at times lively").

<sup>8.</sup> Order, Crim, No. 3:12-cv-838 (M.D. Tenn. Aug. 30, 2012), D.E. 17.